

## Convex Geometry of Orbits

ALEXANDER BARVINOK AND GRIGORIY BLEKHERMAN

**ABSTRACT.** We study metric properties of convex bodies  $B$  and their polars  $B^\circ$ , where  $B$  is the convex hull of an orbit under the action of a compact group  $G$ . Examples include the Traveling Salesman Polytope in polyhedral combinatorics ( $G = S_n$ , the symmetric group), the set of nonnegative polynomials in real algebraic geometry ( $G = SO(n)$ , the special orthogonal group), and the convex hull of the Grassmannian and the unit comass ball in the theory of calibrated geometries ( $G = SO(n)$ , but with a different action). We compute the radius of the largest ball contained in the symmetric Traveling Salesman Polytope, give a reasonably tight estimate for the radius of the Euclidean ball containing the unit comass ball and review (sometimes with simpler and unified proofs) recent results on the structure of the set of nonnegative polynomials (the radius of the inscribed ball, volume estimates, and relations to the sums of squares). Our main tool is a new simple description of the ellipsoid of the largest volume contained in  $B^\circ$ .

### 1. Introduction and Examples

Let  $G$  be a compact group acting in a finite-dimensional real vector space  $V$  and let  $v \in V$  be a point. The main object of this paper is the convex hull

$$B = B(v) = \text{conv}(gv : g \in G)$$

of the orbit as well as its polar

$$B^\circ = B^\circ(v) = \{\ell \in V^* : \ell(gv) \leq 1 \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

Objects such as  $B$  and  $B^\circ$  appear in many different contexts. We give three examples below.

**EXAMPLE 1.1 (COMBINATORIAL OPTIMIZATION POLYTOPES).** Let  $G = S_n$  be the symmetric group, that is, the group of permutations of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 52A20, 52A27, 52A21, 53C38, 52B12, 14P05.

*Keywords:* convex bodies, ellipsoids, representations of compact groups, polyhedral combinatorics, Traveling Salesman Polytope, Grassmannian, calibrations, nonnegative polynomials.

This research was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 9734138.

$B(v)$  is a polytope and varying  $V$  and  $v$ , one can obtain various polytopes of interest in combinatorial optimization. This idea is due to A.M. Vershik (see [Barvinok and Vershik 1988]) and some polytopes of this kind were studied in [Barvinok 1992].

Here we describe perhaps the most famous polytope in this family, the Traveling Salesman Polytope (see, for example, Chapter 58 of [Schrijver 2003]), which exists in two major versions, symmetric and asymmetric. Let  $V$  be the space of  $n \times n$  real matrices  $A = (a_{ij})$  and let  $S_n$  act in  $V$  by simultaneous permutations of rows and columns:  $(ga)_{ij} = a_{g^{-1}(i)g^{-1}(j)}$  (we assume that  $n \geq 4$ ). Let us choose  $v$  such that  $v_{ij} = 1$  provided  $|i - j| = 1 \pmod n$  and  $v_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. Then, as  $g$  ranges over the symmetric group  $S_n$ , matrix  $gv$  ranges over the adjacency matrices of Hamiltonian cycles in a complete undirected graph with  $n$  vertices. The convex hull  $B(v)$  is called the *symmetric Traveling Salesman Polytope* (we denote it by  $ST_n$ ). It has  $(n - 1)!/2$  vertices and its dimension is  $(n^2 - 3n)/2$ .

Let us choose  $v \in V$  such that  $v_{ij} = 1$  provided  $i - j = 1 \pmod n$  and  $v_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. Then, as  $g$  ranges over the symmetric group  $S_n$ , matrix  $gv$  ranges over the adjacency matrices of Hamiltonian circuits in a complete directed graph with  $n$  vertices. The convex hull  $B(v)$  is called the *asymmetric Traveling Salesman Polytope* (we denote it by  $AT_n$ ). It has  $(n - 1)!$  vertices and its dimension is  $n^2 - 3n + 1$ .

A lot of effort has been put into understanding of the facial structure of the symmetric and asymmetric Traveling Salesman Polytopes, in particular, what are the linear inequalities that define the facets of  $AT_n$  and  $ST_n$ , see Chapter 58 of [Schrijver 2003]. It follows from the computational complexity theory that in some sense one *cannot* describe efficiently the facets of the Traveling Salesman Polytope. More precisely, if  $NP \neq co-NP$  (as is widely believed), then there is no polynomial time algorithm, which, given an inequality, decides if it determines a facet of the Traveling Salesman Polytope, symmetric or asymmetric, see, for example, Section 5.12 of [Schrijver 2003]. In a similar spirit, Billera and Sarangarajan proved that any 0-1 polytope (that is, a polytope whose vertices are 0-1 vectors), appears as a face of some  $AT_n$  (up to an affine equivalence) [Billera and Sarangarajan 1996].

EXAMPLE 1.2 (NONNEGATIVE POLYNOMIALS). Let us fix integers  $n \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 1$ . We are interested in homogeneous polynomials  $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $2k$  that are nonnegative for all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Such polynomials form a convex cone and we consider its compact base:

$$\text{Pos}_{2k,n} = \left\{ p : p(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} p(x) dx = 1 \right\}, \quad (1.2.1)$$

where  $dx$  is the rotation-invariant probability measure on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

It is not hard to see that  $\dim \text{Pos}_{2k,n} = \binom{n+2k-1}{2k} - 1$ .

It is convenient to consider a translation  $\text{Pos}'_{2k,n}$ ,  $p \mapsto p - (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^k$  of  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$ :

$$\text{Pos}'_{2k,n} = \left\{ p : p(x) \geq -1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} p(x) dx = 0 \right\}. \quad (1.2.2)$$

Let  $U_{m,n}$  be the real vector space of all homogeneous polynomials  $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $m$  such that the average value of  $p$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is 0. Then, for  $m = 2k$ , the set  $\text{Pos}'_{2k,n}$  is a full-dimensional convex body in  $U_{2k,n}$ .

One can view  $\text{Pos}'_{2k,n}$  as the *negative polar*  $-B^\circ(v)$  of some orbit.

We consider the  $m$ -th tensor power  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes m}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which we view as the vector space of all  $m$ -dimensional arrays  $(x_{i_1, \dots, i_m} : 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n)$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $y = x^{\otimes m}$  be the tensor with the coordinates  $y_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}$ . The group  $G = \text{SO}(n)$  of orientation preserving orthogonal transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  acts in  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes m}$  by the  $m$ -th tensor power of its natural action in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular,  $gy = (gx)^{\otimes m}$  for  $y = x^{\otimes m}$ .

Let us choose  $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and let  $w = e^{\otimes m}$ . Then the orbit  $\{gw : g \in G\}$  consists of the tensors  $x^{\otimes m}$ , where  $x$  ranges over the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The orbit  $\{gw : g \in G\}$  lies in the symmetric part of  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes m}$ . Let  $q = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} gw dg$  be the center of the orbit (we have  $q = 0$  if  $m$  is odd). We translate the orbit by shifting  $q$  to the origin, so in the end we consider the convex hull  $B$  of the orbit of  $v = w - q$ :

$$B = \text{conv}(gv : g \in G).$$

A homogeneous polynomial

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n} c_{i_1, \dots, i_m} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}$$

of degree  $m$ , viewed as a function on the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , is identified with the restriction onto the orbit  $\{gw : g \in G\}$  of the linear functional  $\ell : (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by the coefficients  $c_{i_1, \dots, i_m}$ . Consequently, the linear functionals  $\ell$  on  $B$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the polynomials  $p \in U_{m,n}$ . Moreover, for  $m = 2k$ , the negative polar  $-B^\circ$  is identified with  $\text{Pos}'_{2k,n}$ . If  $m$  is odd, then  $B^\circ = -B^\circ$  is the set of polynomials  $p$  such that  $|p(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

The facial structure of  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$  is well-understood if  $k = 1$  or if  $n = 2$ , see, for example, Section II.11 (for  $n = 2$ ) and Section II.12 (for  $k = 1$ ) of [Barvinok 2002b]. In particular, for  $k = 1$ , the set  $\text{Pos}_{2,n}$  is the convex body of positive semidefinite  $n$ -variate quadratic forms of trace  $n$ . The faces of  $\text{Pos}_{2,n}$  are parameterized by the subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : if  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a subspace then the corresponding face is

$$F_L = \{p \in \text{Pos}_{2,n} : p(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in L\}$$

and  $\dim F_L = r(r+1)/2 - 1$ , where  $r = \text{codim } L$ . Interestingly, for large  $n$ , the set  $\text{Pos}_{2,n}$  is a counterexample to famous Borsuk's conjecture [Kalai 1995].

For any  $k \geq 2$ , the situation is much more complicated: the *membership problem* for  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$ :

*given a polynomial, decide whether it belongs to  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$ ,*

is NP-hard, which indicates that the facial structure of  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$  is probably hard to describe.

EXAMPLE 1.3 (CONVEX HULLS OF GRASSMANNIANS AND CALIBRATIONS). Let  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the Grassmannian of all oriented  $m$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n > 1$ . Let us consider  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as a subset of  $V_{m,n} = \bigwedge^m \mathbb{R}^n$  via the Plücker embedding. Namely, let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We make  $V_{m,n}$  a Euclidean space by choosing an orthonormal basis  $e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_m}$  for  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n$ . Thus the coordinates of a subspace  $x \in G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are indexed by  $m$ -subsets  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and the coordinate  $x_{i_1, \dots, i_m}$  is equal to the oriented volume of the parallelepiped spanned by the orthogonal projection of  $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_m}$  onto  $x$ . This identifies  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with a subset of the unit sphere in  $V_{m,n}$ . The convex hull  $B = \text{conv}(G_m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , called the *unit mass ball*, turns out to be of interest in the theory of calibrations and area-minimizing surfaces: a face of  $B$  gives rise to a family of  $m$ -dimensional area-minimizing surfaces whose tangent planes belong to the face, see [Harvey and Lawson 1982] and [Morgan 1988]. The *comass* of a linear functional  $\ell : V_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the maximum value of  $\ell$  on  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . A *calibration* is a linear functional  $\ell : V_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of comass 1. The polar  $B^\circ$  is called the *unit comass ball*.

One can easily view  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as an orbit. We let  $G = \text{SO}(n)$ , the group of orientation-preserving orthogonal transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and consider the action of  $\text{SO}(n)$  in  $V_{m,n}$  by the  $m$ -th exterior power of its defining action in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Choosing  $v = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_m$ , we observe that  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the orbit  $\{gv : g \in G\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\dim \text{conv}(G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \binom{n}{m}$ .

This example was suggested to the authors by B. Sturmfels and J. Sullivan.

The facial structure of the convex hull of  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is understood for  $m \leq 2$ , for  $m \geq n - 2$  and for some special values of  $m$  and  $n$ , see [Harvey and Lawson 1982], [Harvey and Morgan 1986] and [Morgan 1988]. If  $m = 2$ , then the faces of the unit mass ball are as follows: let us choose an even-dimensional subspace  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  and an orthogonal complex structure on  $U$ , thus identifying  $U = \mathbb{C}^{2k}$  for some  $k$ . Then the corresponding face of  $\text{conv}(G_m(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is the convex hull of all oriented planes in  $U$  identified with complex lines in  $\mathbb{C}^{2k}$ .

In general, it appears to be difficult to describe the facial structure of the unit mass ball. The authors do not know the complexity status of the *membership problem* for the unit mass ball:

*given a point  $x \in \bigwedge^m \mathbb{R}^n$ , decide if it lies in  $\text{conv}(G_m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,*

but suspect that the problem is NP-hard if  $m \geq 3$  is fixed and  $n$  is allowed to grow.

The examples above suggest that the boundary of  $B$  and  $B^\circ$  can get very complicated, so there is little hope in understanding the combinatorics (the facial structure) of general convex hulls of orbits and their polars. Instead, we study metric properties of convex hulls. Our approach is through approximation of a complicated convex body by a simpler one.

As is known, every convex body contains a unique ellipsoid  $E_{\max}$  of the maximum volume and is contained in a unique ellipsoid  $E_{\min}$  of the minimum volume, see [Ball 1997]. Thus ellipsoids  $E_{\max}$  and  $E_{\min}$  provide reasonable “first approximations” to a convex body.

The main result of Section 2 is Theorem 2.4 which states that the maximum volume ellipsoid of  $B^\circ$  consists of the linear functionals  $\ell : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the average value of  $\ell^2$  on the orbit does not exceed  $(\dim V)^{-1}$ . We compute the minimum- and maximum- volume ellipsoids of the symmetric Traveling Salesman Polytope, which both turn out to be balls under the “natural” Euclidean metric and ellipsoid  $E_{\min}$  of the asymmetric Traveling Salesman Polytope, which turns out to be slightly stretched in the direction of the skew-symmetric matrices. As an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.4, we obtain the description of the maximum volume ellipsoid of the set of nonnegative polynomials (Example 1.2), as a ball of radius

$$\left( \binom{n+2k-1}{2k} - 1 \right)^{-1/2}$$

in the  $L^2$ -metric. We also compute the minimum volume ellipsoid of the convex hull of the Grassmannian and hence the maximum volume ellipsoid of the unit comass ball (Example 1.3).

In Section 3, we obtain some inequalities which allow us to approximate the maximum value of a linear functional  $\ell$  on the orbit by an  $L^p$ -norm of  $\ell$ . We apply those inequalities in Section 4. We obtain a reasonably tight estimate of the radius of the Euclidean ball containing the unit comass ball and show that the classical Kähler and special Lagrangian faces of the Grassmannian, are, in fact, rather “shallow” (Example 1.3). Also, we review (with some proofs and some sketches) the recent results of [Blekherman 2003], which show that for most values of  $n$  and  $k$  the set of nonnegative  $n$ -variate polynomials of degree  $2k$  is much larger than its subset consisting of the sums of squares of polynomials of degree  $k$ .

## 2. Approximation by Ellipsoids

Let  $B \subset V$  be a convex body in a finite-dimensional real vector space. We assume that  $\dim B = \dim V$ . Among all ellipsoids contained in  $B$  there is a unique ellipsoid  $E_{\max}$  of the maximum volume, which we call the maximum volume ellipsoid of  $B$  and which is also called the John ellipsoid of  $B$  or the Löwner-John ellipsoid of  $B$ . Similarly, among all ellipsoids containing  $B$  there is a unique ellipsoid  $E_{\min}$  of the minimum volume, which we call the minimum

volume ellipsoid of  $B$  and which is also called the Löwner or the Löwner-John ellipsoid. The maximum and minimum volume ellipsoids of  $B$  do not depend on the volume form chosen in  $V$ , they are intrinsic to  $B$ .

Assuming that the center of  $E_{\max}$  is the origin, we have

$$E_{\max} \subset B \subset (\dim B) E_{\max}.$$

If  $B$  is symmetric about the origin, that is, if  $B = -B$  then the bound can be strengthened:

$$E_{\max} \subset B \subset \left(\sqrt{\dim B}\right) E_{\max}.$$

More generally, let us suppose that  $E_{\max}$  is centered at the origin. The *symmetry coefficient* of  $B$  with respect to the origin is the largest  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $-\alpha B \subset B$ . Then

$$E_{\max} \subset B \subset \left(\sqrt{\frac{\dim B}{\alpha}}\right) E_{\max},$$

where  $\alpha$  is the symmetry coefficient of  $B$  with respect to the origin.

Similarly, assuming that  $E_{\min}$  is centered at the origin, we have

$$(\dim B)^{-1} E_{\min} \subset B \subset E_{\min}.$$

If, additionally,  $\alpha$  is the symmetry coefficient of  $B$  with respect to the origin, then

$$\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\dim B}}\right) E_{\min} \subset B \subset E_{\min}.$$

In particular, if  $B$  is symmetric about the origin, then

$$(\dim B)^{-1/2} E_{\min} \subset B \subset E_{\min}.$$

These, and other interesting properties of the minimum- and maximum- volume ellipsoids can be found in [Ball 1997], see also the original paper [John 1948], [Blekherman 2003], and Chapter V of [Barvinok 2002a]. There are many others interesting ellipsoids associated with a convex body, such as the minimum width and minimum surface area ellipsoids [Giannopoulos and Milman 2000]. The advantage of using  $E_{\max}$  and  $E_{\min}$  is that these ellipsoids do not depend on the Euclidean structure of the ambient space and even on the volume form in the space, which often makes calculations particularly easy.

Suppose that a compact group  $G$  acts in  $V$  by linear transformations and that  $B$  is invariant under the action:  $gB = B$  for all  $g \in G$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be a  $G$ -invariant scalar product in  $V$ , so  $G$  acts in  $V$  by isometries. Since the ellipsoids  $E_{\max}$  and  $E_{\min}$  associated with  $B$  are unique, they also have to be invariant under the action of  $G$ . If the group of symmetries of  $B$  is sufficiently rich, we may be able to describe  $E_{\max}$  or  $E_{\min}$  precisely.

The following simple observation will be used throughout this section. Let us suppose that the action of  $G$  in  $V$  is irreducible: if  $W \subset V$  is a  $G$ -invariant

subspace, then either  $W = \{0\}$  or  $W = V$ . Then, the ellipsoids  $E_{\max}$  and  $E_{\min}$  of a  $G$ -invariant convex body  $B$  are necessarily balls centered at the origin:

$$E_{\max} = \{x \in V : \langle x, x \rangle \leq r^2\} \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\min} = \{x \in V : \langle x, x \rangle \leq R^2\}$$

for some  $r, R > 0$ .

Indeed, since the action of  $G$  is irreducible, the origin is the only  $G$ -invariant point and hence both  $E_{\max}$  and  $E_{\min}$  must be centered at the origin. Furthermore, an ellipsoid  $E \subset V$  centered at the origin is defined by the inequality  $E = \{x : q(x) \leq 1\}$ , where  $q : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a positive definite quadratic form. If  $E$  is  $G$ -invariant, then  $q(gx) = q(x)$  for all  $g \in G$  and hence the eigenspaces of  $q$  must be  $G$ -invariant. Since the action of  $G$  is irreducible, there is only one eigenspace which coincides with  $V$ , from which  $q(x) = \lambda \langle x, x \rangle$  for some  $\lambda > 0$  and all  $x \in V$  and  $E$  is a ball.

This simple observation allows us to compute ellipsoids  $E_{\max}$  and  $E_{\min}$  of the Symmetric Traveling Salesman Polytope (Example 1.1).

**EXAMPLE 2.1** (THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM VOLUME ELLIPSOIDS OF THE SYMMETRIC TRAVELING SALESMAN POLYTOPE). In this case,  $V$  is the space of  $n \times n$  real matrices, on which the symmetric group  $S_n$  acts by simultaneous permutations of rows and columns, see Example 1.1. Introduce an  $S_n$ -invariant scalar product by

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}b_{ij} \quad \text{for } a = (a_{ij}) \text{ and } b = (b_{ij})$$

and the corresponding Euclidean norm  $\|a\| = \sqrt{\langle a, a \rangle}$ . It is not hard to see that the affine hull of the symmetric Traveling Salesman Polytope  $ST_n$  consists of the symmetric matrices with 0 diagonal and row and column sums equal to 2, from which one can deduce the formula  $\dim ST_n = (n^2 - 3n)/2$ . Let us make the affine hull of  $ST_n$  a vector space by choosing the origin at  $c = (c_{ij})$  with  $c_{ij} = 2/(n-1)$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $c_{ii} = 0$ , the only fixed point of the action. One can see that the action of  $S_n$  on the affine hull of  $ST_n$  is irreducible and corresponds to the Young diagram  $(n-2, 2)$ , see, for example, Chapter 4 of [Fulton and Harris 1991].

Hence the maximum- and minimum- volume ellipsoids of  $ST_n$  must be balls in the affine hull of  $ST_n$  centered at  $c$ . Moreover, since the boundary of the minimum volume ellipsoid  $E_{\min}$  must contain the vertices of  $ST_n$ , we conclude that the radius of the ball representing  $E_{\min}$  is equal to  $\sqrt{2n(n-3)/(n-1)}$ .

One can compute the symmetry coefficient of  $ST_n$  with respect to the center  $c$ . Suppose that  $n \geq 5$ . Let us choose a vertex  $v$  of  $ST_n$  and let us consider the functional  $\ell(x) = \langle v - c, x - c \rangle$  on  $ST_n$ . The maximum value of  $2n(n-3)/(n-1)$  is attained at  $x = v$  while the minimum value of  $-4n/(n-1)$  is attained at the face  $F_v$  of  $ST_n$  with the vertices  $h$  such that  $\langle v, h \rangle = 0$  (combinatorially,  $h$  correspond to Hamiltonian cycles in the graph obtained from the complete graph on  $n$  vertices by deleting the edges of the Hamiltonian cycle encoded by

$v$ ). Moreover, one can show that for  $\lambda = 2/(n-3)$ , we have  $-\lambda(v-c) + c \in F_v$ . This implies that the coefficient of symmetry of  $ST_n$  with respect to  $c$  is equal to  $2/(n-3)$ . Therefore  $ST_n$  contains the ball centered at  $c$  and of the radius  $\sqrt{8/((n-1)(n-3))}$  (for  $n \geq 5$ ).

The ball centered at  $c$  and of the radius  $\sqrt{8/((n-1)(n-3))}$  touches the boundary of  $ST_n$ . Indeed, let  $b = (b_{ij})$  be the centroid of the set of vertices  $x$  of  $ST_n$  with  $x_{12} = x_{21} = 0$ . Then

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq 2, \\ \frac{2}{n-2} & \text{if } i = 1, 2 \text{ and } j > 2 \quad \text{or} \quad j = 1, 2 \text{ and } i > 2, \\ \frac{2(n-4)}{(n-2)(n-3)} & \text{if } i, j \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

and the distance from  $c$  to  $b$  is precisely  $\sqrt{8/((n-1)(n-3))}$ .

Hence for  $n \geq 5$  the maximum volume ellipsoid  $E_{\max}$  is the ball centered at  $c$  of the radius  $\sqrt{8/((n-1)(n-3))}$ .

Some bounds on the radius of the largest inscribed ball for a polytope from a particular family of combinatorially defined polytopes are computed in [Vyalı̄ 1995]. The family of polytopes includes the symmetric Traveling Salesman Polytope, although in its case the bound from [Vyalı̄ 1995] is not optimal.

If the action of  $G$  in the ambient space  $V$  is not irreducible, the situation is more complicated. For one thing, there is more than one (up to a scaling factor)  $G$ -invariant scalar product, hence the notion of a ‘‘ball’’ is not really defined. However, we are still able to describe the minimum volume ellipsoid of the convex hull of an orbit.

Without loss of generality, we assume that the orbit  $\{gv : g \in G\}$  spans  $V$  affinely. Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be a  $G$ -invariant scalar product in  $V$ . As is known,  $V$  can be decomposed into the direct sum of pairwise orthogonal invariant subspaces  $V_i$ , such that the action of  $G$  in each  $V_i$  is irreducible. It is important to note that the decomposition is *not* unique: nonuniqueness appears when some of  $V_i$  are isomorphic, that is, when there exists an isomorphism  $V_i \rightarrow V_j$  which commutes with  $G$ . If the decomposition is unique, we say that the action of  $G$  is *multiplicity-free*.

Since the orbit spans  $V$  affinely, the orthogonal projection  $v_i$  of  $v$  onto each  $V_i$  must be nonzero (if  $v_i = 0$  then the orbit lies in  $V_i^\perp$ ). Also, the origin in  $V$  must be the only invariant point of the action of  $G$  (otherwise, the orbit is contained in the hyperplane  $\langle x, u \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$ , where  $u \in V$  is a nonzero vector fixed by the action of  $G$ ).

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $B$  be the convex hull of the orbit of a vector  $v \in V$ :*

$$B = \text{conv}(gv : g \in G).$$



Suppose that the affine hull of  $B$  is  $V$ .

Then there exists a decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_i V_i$$

of  $V$  into the direct sum of pairwise orthogonal irreducible components such that the following holds.

The minimum volume ellipsoid  $E_{\min}$  of  $B$  is defined by the inequality

$$E_{\min} = \left\{ x : \sum_i \frac{\dim V_i}{\dim V} \cdot \frac{\langle x_i, x_i \rangle}{\langle v_i, v_i \rangle} \leq 1 \right\}, \quad 2.2.1$$

where  $x_i$  (resp.  $v_i$ ) is the orthogonal projection of  $x$  (resp.  $v$ ) onto  $V_i$ .

We have

$$\int_G \langle x, gv \rangle^2 dg = \sum_i \frac{\langle x_i, x_i \rangle \langle v_i, v_i \rangle}{\dim V_i} \quad \text{for all } x \in V, \quad 2.2.2$$

where  $dg$  is the Haar probability measure on  $G$ .

PROOF. Let us consider the quadratic form  $q : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$q(x) = \int_G \langle x, gv \rangle^2 dg.$$

We observe that  $q$  is  $G$ -invariant, that is,  $q(gx) = q(x)$  for all  $x \in V$  and all  $g \in G$ . Therefore, the eigenspaces of  $q$  are  $G$ -invariant. Writing the eigenspaces as direct sums of pairwise orthogonal invariant subspaces where the action of  $G$  is irreducible, we obtain a decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$  such that

$$q(x) = \sum_i \lambda_i \langle x_i, x_i \rangle \quad \text{for all } x \in V$$

and some  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ . Recall that  $v_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$  since the orbit  $\{gv : g \in G\}$  spans  $V$  affinely.

To compute  $\lambda_i$ , we substitute  $x \in V_i$  and observe that the trace of

$$q_i(x) = \int_G \langle x, gv_i \rangle^2 dg$$

as a quadratic form  $q_i : V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is equal to  $\langle v_i, v_i \rangle$ . Hence we must have  $\lambda_i = \langle v_i, v_i \rangle / \dim V_i$ , which proves (2.2.2) [Barvinok 2002b].

We will also use the polarized form of (2.2.2):

$$\int_G \langle x, gv \rangle \langle y, gv \rangle dg = \sum_i \frac{\langle x_i, y_i \rangle \langle v_i, v_i \rangle}{\dim V_i}, \quad 2.2.3$$

obtained by applying (2.2.2) to  $q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)$ .

Next, we observe that the ellipsoid  $E$  defined by the inequality (2.2.1) contains the orbit  $\{gv : g \in G\}$  on its boundary and hence contains  $B$ .

Our goal is to show that  $E$  is the minimum volume ellipsoid. It is convenient to introduce a new scalar product:

$$(a, b) = \sum_i \frac{\dim V_i}{\dim V} \cdot \frac{\langle a_i, b_i \rangle}{\langle v_i, v_i \rangle} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in V.$$

Obviously  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a  $G$ -invariant scalar product. Furthermore, the ellipsoid  $E$  defined by (2.2.1) is the unit ball in the scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

Now,

$$(c, gv) = \sum_i \frac{\dim V_i}{\dim V} \cdot \frac{\langle c_i, gv \rangle}{\langle v_i, v_i \rangle}$$

and hence

$$(c, gv)^2 = \sum_{i,j} \frac{(\dim V_i)(\dim V_j)}{(\dim V)^2} \cdot \frac{\langle c_i, gv \rangle \langle c_j, gv \rangle}{\langle v_i, v_i \rangle \langle v_j, v_j \rangle}.$$

Integrating and using (2.2.3), we get

$$\int_G (c, gv)^2 dg = \frac{1}{\dim V} \sum_i \frac{\dim V_i}{\dim V} \cdot \frac{\langle c_i, c_i \rangle}{\langle v_i, v_i \rangle} = \frac{(c, c)}{\dim V}. \quad 2.2.4$$

Since the origin is the only fixed point of the action of  $G$ , the minimum volume ellipsoid should be centered at the origin.

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  for  $k = \dim V$  be an orthonormal basis with respect to the scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Suppose that  $E' \subset V$  is an ellipsoid defined by

$$E' = \left\{ x \in V : \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(x, e_j)^2}{\alpha_j^2} \leq 1 \right\}$$

for some  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k > 0$ . To show that  $E$  is the minimum volume ellipsoid, it suffices to show that as long as  $E'$  contains the orbit  $\{gv : g \in G\}$ , we must have  $\text{vol } E' \geq \text{vol } E$ , which is equivalent to  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k \geq 1$ .

Indeed, since  $gv \in E'$ , we must have

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(e_j, gv)^2}{\alpha_j^2} \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

Integrating, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\alpha_j^2} \int_G (e_j, gv)^2 dg \leq 1.$$

Applying (2.2.4), we get

$$\frac{1}{\dim V} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\alpha_j^2} \leq 1.$$

Since  $k = \dim V$ , from the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric means, we get  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k \geq 1$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK. In the part of the proof where we compare the volumes of  $E'$  and  $E$ , we reproduce the “sufficiency” (that is, “the easy”) part of John’s criterion for optimality of an ellipsoid; see, for example, [Ball 1997].

Theorem 2.2 allows us to compute the minimum volume ellipsoid of the asymmetric Traveling Salesman Polytope, see Example 1.1.

EXAMPLE 2.3 (THE MINIMUM VOLUME ELLIPSOID OF THE ASYMMETRIC TRAVELING SALESMAN POLYTOPE). In this case (compare Examples 1.1 and 2.1),  $V$  is the space of  $n \times n$  matrices with the scalar product and the action of the symmetric group  $S_n$  defined as in Example 2.1. One can observe that the affine hull of  $AT_n$  consists of the matrices with zero diagonal and row and column sums equal to 1, from which one can deduce the formula  $\dim AT_n = n^2 - 3n + 1$ .

The affine hull of  $AT_n$  is  $S_n$ -invariant. We make the affine hull of  $AT_n$  a vector space by choosing the origin at  $c = (c_{ij})$  with  $c_{ij} = 1/(n - 1)$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $c_{ii} = 0$ , the only fixed point of the action. The action of  $S_n$  on the affine hull of  $AT_n$  is reducible and multiplicity-free, so there is no ambiguity in choosing the irreducible components. The affine hull is the sum of two irreducible invariant subspaces  $V_s$  and  $V_a$ .

Subspace  $V_s$  consists of the matrices  $x + c$ , where  $x$  is a symmetric matrix with zero diagonal and zero row and column sums. One can see that the action of  $S_n$  in  $V_s$  is irreducible and corresponds to the Young diagram  $(n - 2, 2)$ , see, for example, Chapter 4 of [Fulton and Harris 1991]. We have  $\dim V_s = (n^2 - 3n)/2$ .

Subspace  $V_a$  consists of the matrices  $x + c$ , where  $x$  is a skew-symmetric matrix with zero row and column sums. One can see that the action of  $S_n$  in  $V_a$  is irreducible and corresponds to the Young diagram  $(n - 2, 1, 1)$ , see, for example, Chapter 4 of [Fulton and Harris 1991]. We have  $\dim V_a = (n - 1)(n - 2)/2$ .

The orthogonal projection onto  $V_s$  is defined by  $x \mapsto (x + x^t)/2$ , while the orthogonal projection onto  $V_a$  is defined by  $x \mapsto (x - x^t)/2 + c$ .

Applying Theorem 2.2, we conclude that the minimum volume ellipsoid of  $AT_n$  is defined in the affine hull of  $AT_n$  by the inequality:

$$(n - 1) \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \left( \frac{x_{ij} + x_{ji}}{2} - \frac{1}{n - 1} \right)^2 + \frac{(n - 1)(n - 2)}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \left( \frac{x_{ij} - x_{ji}}{2} \right)^2 \leq n^2 - 3n + 1.$$

Thus one can say that the minimum volume ellipsoid of the asymmetric Traveling Salesman Polytope is slightly stretched in the direction of skew-symmetric matrices.

The dual version of Theorem 2.2 is especially simple.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let  $G$  be a compact group acting in a finite-dimensional real vector space  $V$ . Let  $B$  be the convex hull of the orbit of a vector  $v \in V$ :*

$$B = \text{conv}\left(gv : g \in G\right).$$

*Suppose that the affine hull of  $B$  is  $V$ .*

*Let  $V^*$  be the dual to  $V$  and let*

$$B^\circ = \left\{ \ell \in V^* : \ell(x) \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in B \right\}$$

*be the polar of  $B$ . Then the maximum volume ellipsoid of  $B^\circ$  is defined by the inequality*

$$E_{\max} = \left\{ \ell \in V^* : \int_G \ell^2(gv) dg \leq \frac{1}{\dim V} \right\}.$$

PROOF. Let us introduce a  $G$ -invariant scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  in  $V$ , thus identifying  $V$  and  $V^*$ . Then

$$B^\circ = \left\{ c \in V : \langle c, gv \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } g \in G \right\}.$$

Since the origin is the only point fixed by the action of  $G$ , the maximum volume ellipsoid  $E_{\max}$  of  $B^\circ$  is centered at the origin. Therefore,  $E_{\max}$  must be the polar of the minimum volume ellipsoid of  $B$ .

Let  $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$  be the decomposition of Theorem 2.2. Since  $E_{\max}$  is the polar of the ellipsoid  $E_{\min}$  associated with  $B$ , from (2.2.1), we get

$$E_{\max} = \left\{ c : \dim V \sum_i \frac{\langle c_i, c_i \rangle \langle v_i, v_i \rangle}{\dim V_i} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Applying (2.2.2), we get

$$E_{\max} = \left\{ c : \int_G \langle c, gv \rangle^2 dg \leq \frac{1}{\dim V} \right\},$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK. Let  $G$  be a compact group acting in a finite-dimensional real vector space  $V$  and let  $v \in V$  be a point such that the orbit  $\{gv : g \in V\}$  spans  $V$  affinely. Then the dual space  $V^*$  acquires a natural scalar product

$$\langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle = \int_G \ell_1(gv) \ell_2(gv) dg$$

induced by the scalar product in  $L^2(G)$ . Theorem 2.4 states that the maximum volume ellipsoid of the polar of the orbit is the ball of radius  $(\dim V)^{-1/2}$  in this scalar product.

By duality,  $V$  acquires the dual scalar product (which we denote below by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  as well). It is a constant multiple of the product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  introduced in the proof of Theorem 2.2:  $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle = (\dim V)(u_1, u_2)$ . We have  $\langle v, v \rangle = \dim V$  and

the minimum volume ellipsoid of the convex hull of the orbit of  $v$  is the ball of radius  $\sqrt{\dim V}$ .

As an immediate application of Theorem 2.4, we compute the maximum volume ellipsoid of the set of nonnegative polynomials, see Example 1.2.

EXAMPLE 2.5 (THE MAXIMUM VOLUME ELLIPSOID OF THE SET OF NONNEGATIVE POLYNOMIALS). In this case,  $U_{2k,n}^*$  is the space of all homogeneous polynomials  $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $2k$  with the zero average on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , so  $\dim U_{2k,n}^* = \binom{n+2k-1}{2k} - 1$ . We view such a polynomial  $p$  as a linear functional  $\ell$  on an orbit  $\{gv : g \in G\}$  in the action of the orthogonal group  $G = \text{SO}(n)$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes 2k}$  and the shifted set  $\text{Pos}'_{2k,n}$  of nonnegative polynomials as the negative polar  $-B^\circ$  of the orbit, see Example 1.2. In particular, under this identification  $p \longleftrightarrow \ell$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} p^2(x) dx = \int_G \ell^2(gv) dg,$$

where  $dx$  and  $dg$  are the Haar probability measures on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $\text{SO}(n)$  respectively.

Applying Theorem 2.4 to  $-B^\circ$ , we conclude that the maximum volume ellipsoid of  $-B^\circ = \text{Pos}'_{2k,n}$  consists of the polynomials  $p$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} p(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} p^2(x) dx \leq \left( \binom{n+2k-1}{2k} - 1 \right)^{-1}.$$

Consequently, the maximum volume ellipsoid of  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$  consists of the polynomials  $p$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} p(x) dx = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (p(x) - 1)^2 dx \leq \left( \binom{n+2k-1}{2k} - 1 \right)^{-1}.$$

Geometrically, the maximum volume ellipsoid of  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$  can be described as follows. Let us introduce a scalar product in the space of polynomials by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x)g(x) dx,$$

where  $dx$  is the rotation-invariant probability measure, as above. Then the maximum volume ellipsoid of  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$  is the ball centered at  $r(x) = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^k$  and having radius

$$\left( \binom{n+2k-1}{2k} - 1 \right)^{-1/2}$$

(note that multiples of  $r(x)$  are the only  $\text{SO}(n)$ -invariant polynomials, see for example, p. 13 of [Barvinok 2002a]). This result was first obtained by more direct and complicated computations in [Blekhman 2004]. In the same paper,

G. Blekherman also determined the coefficient of symmetry of  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$  (with respect to the center  $r$ ), it turns out to be equal to

$$\left( \binom{n+k-1}{k} - 1 \right)^{-1}.$$

It follows then that  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$  is contained in the ball centered at  $r$  and of the radius

$$\left( \binom{n+k-1}{k} - 1 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This estimate is poor if  $k$  is fixed and  $n$  is allowed to grow: as follows from results of Duoandikoetxea [1987], for any fixed  $k$ , the set  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$  is contained in a ball of a fixed radius, as  $n$  grows. However, the estimate gives the right logarithmic order if  $k \gg n$ , which one can observe by inspecting a polynomial  $p \in \text{Pos}_{2k,n}$  that is the  $2k$ -th power of a linear function.

We conclude this section by computing the minimum volume ellipsoid of the convex hull of the Grassmannian and, consequently, the maximum volume ellipsoid of the unit comass ball, see Example 1.3.

**EXAMPLE 2.6 (THE MINIMUM VOLUME ELLIPSOID OF THE CONVEX HULL OF THE GRASSMANNIAN).** In this case,  $V_{m,n} = \bigwedge^m \mathbb{R}^n$  with the orthonormal basis  $e_I = e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_m}$ , where  $I$  is an  $m$ -subset  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m \leq n$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is the standard orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the corresponding scalar product in  $V_{m,n}$ , so that

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_I a_I b_I,$$

where  $I$  ranges over all  $m$ -subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . The scalar product allows us to identify  $V_{m,n}^*$  with  $V_{m,n}$ . First, we find the maximum volume ellipsoid of the unit comass ball  $B^\circ$ , that is the polar of the convex hull  $B = \text{conv}(G_m(\mathbb{R}^n))$  of the Grassmannian.

A linear functional  $a \in V_{m,n}^* = V_{m,n}$  is defined by its coefficients  $a_I$ . To apply Theorem 2.4, we have to compute

$$\int_{\text{SO}(n)} \langle a, gv \rangle^2 dg = \int_{G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \langle a, x \rangle^2 dx,$$

where  $dx$  is the Haar probability measure on the Grassmannian  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We note that

$$\int_{G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \langle e_I, x \rangle \langle e_J, x \rangle dx = 0$$

for  $I \neq J$ , since for  $i \in I \setminus J$ , the reflection  $e_i \mapsto -e_i$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  induces an isometry of  $V_{m,n}$ , which maps  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  onto itself, reverses the sign of  $\langle e_I, x \rangle$  and does

not change  $\langle e_J, x \rangle$ . Also,

$$\int_{G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \langle e_I, x \rangle^2 dx = \binom{n}{m}^{-1},$$

since the integral does not depend on  $I$  and  $\sum_I \langle e_I, x \rangle^2 = 1$  for all  $x \in G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

By Theorem 2.4, we conclude that the maximum volume ellipsoid of the unit comass ball  $B^\circ$  is defined by the inequality

$$E_{\max} = \left\{ a \in V_{m,n} : \sum_I a_I^2 \leq 1 \right\},$$

that is, the unit ball in the Euclidean metric of  $V_{m,n}$ . Since  $B^\circ$  is centrally symmetric, we conclude that  $B^\circ$  is contained in the ball of radius  $\binom{n}{m}^{1/2}$ . As follows from Theorem 4.1, this estimate is optimal up to a factor of  $\sqrt{m(n-1)(1+\ln m)}$ .

Consequently, the convex hull  $B$  of the Grassmannian is contained in the unit ball of  $V_{m,n}$ , which is the minimum volume ellipsoid of  $B$ , and contains a ball of radius  $\binom{n}{m}^{-1/2}$ . Again, the estimate of the radius of the inner ball is optimal up to a factor of  $\sqrt{m(n-1)(1+\ln m)}$ .

### 3. Higher Order Estimates

The following construction can be used to get a better understanding of metric properties of an orbit  $\{gv : g \in G\}$ . Let us choose a positive integer  $k$  and let us consider the  $k$ -th tensor power

$$V^{\otimes k} = \underbrace{V \otimes \cdots \otimes V}_{k \text{ times}}.$$

The group  $G$  acts in  $V^{\otimes k}$  by the  $k$ -th tensor power of its action in  $V$ : on decomposable tensors we have

$$g(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k) = g(v_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes g(v_k).$$

Let us consider the orbit  $\{gv^{\otimes k} : g \in G\}$  for

$$v^{\otimes k} = \underbrace{v \otimes \cdots \otimes v}_{k \text{ times}}.$$

Then, a linear functional on the orbit of  $v^{\otimes k}$  is a polynomial of degree  $k$  on the orbit of  $v$  and hence we can extract some new “higher order” information about the orbit of  $v$  by applying already developed methods to the orbit of  $v^{\otimes k}$ . An important observation is that the orbit  $\{gv^{\otimes k} : g \in G\}$  lies in the symmetric part of  $V^{\otimes k}$ , so the dimension of the affine hull of the orbit of  $v^{\otimes k}$  does not exceed  $\binom{\dim V + k - 1}{k}$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group acting in a finite-dimensional real vector space  $V$ , let  $v \in V$  be a point, and let  $\ell : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear functional. Let us define*

$$f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{by} \quad f(g) = \ell(gv).$$

*For an integer  $k > 0$ , let  $d_k$  be the dimension of the subspace spanned by the orbit  $\{gv^{\otimes k} : g \in G\}$  in  $V^{\otimes k}$ . In particular,  $d_k \leq \binom{\dim V + k - 1}{k}$ . Let*

$$\|f\|_{2k} = \left( \int_G f^{2k}(g) dg \right)^{1/2k}.$$

(i) *Suppose that  $k$  is odd and that*

$$\int_G f^k(g) dg = 0.$$

*Then*

$$d_k^{-1/2k} \|f\|_{2k} \leq \max_{g \in G} f(g) \leq d_k^{1/2k} \|f\|_{2k}.$$

(ii) *We have*

$$\|f\|_{2k} \leq \max_{g \in G} |f(g)| \leq d_k^{1/2k} \|f\|_{2k}.$$

**PROOF.** Without loss of generality, we assume that  $f \not\equiv 0$ .

Let

$$B_k(v) = \text{conv}(gv^{\otimes k} : g \in G)$$

be the convex hull of the orbit of  $v^{\otimes k}$ . We have  $\dim B_k(v) \leq d_k$ .

Let  $\ell^{\otimes k} \in (V^*)^{\otimes k}$  be the  $k$ -th tensor power of the linear functional  $\ell \in V^*$ . Thus  $f^k(g) = \ell^{\otimes k}(gv^{\otimes k})$ .

To prove Part (1), we note that since  $k$  is odd,

$$\max_{g \in G} f^k(g) = \left( \max_{g \in G} f(g) \right)^k.$$

Let

$$u = \int_G g(v^{\otimes k}) dg$$

be the center of  $B_k(v)$ . Since the average value of  $f^k(g)$  is equal to 0, we have  $\ell^{\otimes k}(u) = 0$  and hence  $\ell^{\otimes k}(x) = \ell^{\otimes k}(x - u)$  for all  $x \in V^{\otimes k}$ . Let us translate  $B_k(v)' = B_k(v) - u$  to the origin and let us consider the maximum volume ellipsoid  $E$  of the polar of  $B_k(v)'$  in its affine hull. By Theorem 2.4, we have

$$E = \left\{ \mathcal{L} \in (V^{\otimes k})^* : \int_G \mathcal{L}^2(gv^{\otimes k} - u) dg \leq \frac{1}{\dim B_k(v)} \right\}.$$

Since the ellipsoid  $E$  is contained in the polar of  $B_k(v)'$ , for any linear functional  $\mathcal{L} : V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the inequality

$$\int_G \mathcal{L}^2(gv^{\otimes k} - u) dg \leq \frac{1}{d_k} \leq \frac{1}{\dim B_k(v)}$$



implies the inequality

$$\max_{g \in G} \mathcal{L}(gv^{\otimes k} - u) \leq 1.$$

Choosing  $\mathcal{L} = \lambda \ell^{\otimes k}$  with  $\lambda = d_k^{-1/2} \|f\|_{2k}^{-k}$ , we then obtain the upper bound for  $\max_{g \in G} f(g)$ .

Since the ellipsoid  $(\dim E)E$  contains the polar of  $B_k(v)'$ , for any linear functional  $\mathcal{L} : V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the inequality

$$\max_{g \in G} \mathcal{L}(gv^{\otimes k} - u) \leq 1$$

implies the inequality

$$\int_G \mathcal{L}^2(gv^{\otimes k} - u) dg \leq \dim B_k(v) \leq d_k.$$

Choosing  $\mathcal{L} = \lambda \ell^{\otimes k}$  with any  $\lambda > \|f\|_{2k}^{-k} d_k^{1/2}$ , we obtain the lower bound for  $\max_{g \in G} f(g)$ .

The proof of Part (2) is similar. We modify the definition of  $B_k(v)$  by letting

$$B_k(v) = \text{conv}(gv^{\otimes k}, -gv^{\otimes k} : g \in G).$$

The set  $B_k(v)$  so defined can be considered as the convex hull of an orbit of  $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  and is centrally symmetric, so the ellipsoid  $(\sqrt{\dim E})E$  contains the polar of  $B_k(v)$ .

Part (2) is also proven by a different method in [Barvinok 2002b].  $\square$

REMARK. Since  $d_k \leq \binom{\dim V + k - 1}{k}$ , the upper and lower bounds in Theorem 3.1 are asymptotically equivalent as long as  $k^{-1} \dim V \rightarrow 0$ . In many interesting cases we have  $d_k \ll \binom{\dim V + k - 1}{k}$ , which results in stronger inequalities.

**Polynomials on the unit sphere.** As is discussed in Examples 1.2 and 2.5, the restriction of a homogeneous polynomial  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $m$  onto the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  can be viewed as the restriction of a linear functional  $\ell : (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  onto the orbit of a vector  $v = e^{\otimes m}$  for some  $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  in the action of the special orthogonal group  $\text{SO}(n)$ . In this case, the orbit of  $v^{\otimes k} = e^{\otimes mk}$  spans the symmetric part of  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{mk}$ , so we have  $d_k = \binom{n+mk-1}{mk}$  in Theorem 3.1.

Hence Part (1) of Theorem 3.1 implies that if  $f$  is an  $n$ -variate homogeneous polynomial of degree  $m$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f^k(x) dx = 0,$$

where  $dx$  is the rotation-invariant probability measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , then

$$\binom{n+mk-1}{mk}^{-1/2k} \|f\|_{2k} \leq \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x) \leq \binom{n+mk-1}{mk}^{1/2k} \|f\|_{2k},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{2k} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f^{2k}(x) dx \right)^{1/2k}.$$

We obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Suppose that  $k \geq (n-1) \max\{\ln(m+1), 1\}$ . Then*

$$\|f\|_{2k} \leq \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |f(x)| \leq \alpha \|f\|_{2k},$$

for some absolute constant  $\alpha > 0$  and all homogeneous polynomials  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $m$ . One can take  $\alpha = \exp(1 + 0.5e^{-1}) \approx 3.27$ .

**PROOF.** Applying Part(2) of Theorem 3.1 as above, we conclude that for any homogeneous polynomial  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $m$ ,

$$\|f\|_{2k} \leq \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |f(x)| \leq \binom{n+mk-1}{mk}^{1/2k} \|f\|_{2k}.$$

This inequality is also proved in [Barvinok 2002b]. Besides, it can be deduced from some classical estimates for spherical harmonics; see p. 14 of [Müller 1966].

We use the estimate

$$\ln \binom{a}{b} \leq b \ln \frac{a}{b} + (a-b) \ln \frac{a}{a-b};$$

see, for example, Theorem 1.4.5 of [van Lint 1999]. Applying the inequality with  $b = mk$  and  $a = n + mk - 1$ , we get

$$b \ln \frac{a}{b} = mk \ln \left( 1 + \frac{n-1}{mk} \right) \leq n-1$$

and

$$(a-b) \ln \frac{a}{a-b} = (n-1) \ln \frac{n+mk-1}{n-1} \leq (n-1) \left( \ln(m+1) + \ln \frac{k}{n-1} \right).$$

Summarizing,

$$\frac{1}{2k} \ln \binom{n+mk-1}{mk} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\rho} \ln \rho \quad \text{for } \rho = \frac{k}{n-1}.$$

Since  $\rho^{-1} \ln \rho \leq e^{-1}$  for all  $\rho \geq 1$ , the proof follows.  $\square$

Our next application concerns calibrations; compare Examples 1.3 and 2.6.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \wedge^m \mathbb{R}^n$  be the Plücker embedding of the Grassmannian of oriented  $m$ -subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\ell : \wedge^m \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear functional. Let*

$$\|\ell\|_{2k} = \left( \int_{G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \ell^{2k}(x) dx \right)^{1/2k},$$

where  $dx$  is the Haar probability measure on  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then, for any positive integer  $k$ ,

$$\|\ell\|_{2k} \leq \max_{x \in G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)} |\ell(x)| \leq (d_k)^{1/2k} \|\ell\|_{2k},$$

where  $d_k = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{n+j-i}{m+k-i-j+1}$ .

PROOF. As we discussed in Example 1.3, the Grassmannian  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be viewed as the orbit of  $v = e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m$ , where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , under the action of the special orthogonal group  $\text{SO}(n)$  by the  $m$ -th exterior power of its defining representation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We are going to apply Part (2) of Theorem 3.1 and for that we need to estimate the dimension of the subspace spanned by the orbit of  $v^{\otimes k}$ . First, we identify  $\bigwedge^m \mathbb{R}^n$  with the subspace of skew-symmetric tensors in  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes m}$  and  $v$  with the point

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (\text{sgn } \sigma) e_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\sigma(m)},$$

where  $S_m$  is the symmetric group of all permutations of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Let us consider  $W = (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes mk}$ . We introduce the right action of the symmetric group  $S_{mk}$  on  $W$  by permutations of the factors in the tensor product:

$$(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{mk})\sigma = u_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{\sigma(mk)}.$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , let  $R_i \subset S_{mk}$  be the subgroup permuting the numbers  $1 \leq a \leq mk$  such that  $a \equiv i \pmod{m}$  and leaving all other numbers intact and for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $C_j \subset S_{mk}$  be the subgroup permuting the numbers  $m(i-1)+1 \leq a \leq mi$  and leaving all other numbers intact.

Let  $w = e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_m$ . Then

$$v^{\otimes k} = (k!)^{-m} w^{\otimes k} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in R_1 \times \cdots \times R_m} \sigma \right) \left( \sum_{\sigma \in C_1 \times \cdots \times C_k} (\text{sgn } \sigma) \sigma \right).$$

It follows then that  $v^{\otimes k}$  generates the  $GL_n$ -module indexed by the rectangular  $m \times k$  Young diagram, so its dimension  $d_k$  is given by the formula of the Theorem, see Chapter 6 of [Fulton and Harris 1991].  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.4. *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.3, let*

$$k \geq m(n-1) \max\{\ln m, 1\}.$$

Then

$$\|\ell\|_{2k} \leq \text{comass of } \ell \leq \alpha \|\ell\|_{2k}$$

for some absolute constant  $\alpha > 0$ .

One can choose  $\alpha = \exp(0.5 + 0.5e^{-1} + 1/\ln 3) \approx 4.93$ .

PROOF. We have

$$d_k \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{n+j-i}{k-j+1} \leq \left( \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{n+j-1}{k-j+1} \right)^m = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1}^m.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \ln d_k &\leq m \ln \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} \leq m(n-1) \ln \frac{n+k-1}{n-1} + mk \ln \frac{n+k-1}{k} \\ &\leq m(n-1) \left( \ln \frac{n+k-1}{n-1} + 1 \right) = m(n-1) \left( \ln \frac{k}{n-1} + 2 \right); \end{aligned}$$

compare the proof of Corollary 3.2.

If  $m \geq 3$  then  $\ln m \geq 1$  and  $k/(n-1) \geq m \ln m$ . Since the function  $\rho^{-1} \ln \rho$  is decreasing for  $\rho \geq e$ , substituting  $\rho = k/(n-1)$ , we get

$$\rho^{-1} \ln \rho = \frac{n-1}{k} \ln \frac{k}{n-1} \leq \frac{\ln m + \ln \ln m}{m \ln m}.$$

Therefore, for  $m \geq 3$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2k} \ln d_k \leq \frac{\ln m + \ln \ln m}{2 \ln m} + \frac{1}{\ln m} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2e} + \frac{1}{\ln 3}.$$

If  $m \leq 2$  then

$$\frac{n-1}{k} \ln \frac{k}{n-1} \leq e^{-1},$$

since the maximum of  $\rho^{-1} \ln \rho$  for is attained at  $\rho = e$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2k} \ln d_k \leq e^{-1} + 1 < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2e} + \frac{1}{\ln 3}$$

The proof now follows.  $\square$

To understand the convex geometry of an orbit, we would like to compute the maximum value of a ‘‘typical’’ linear functional on the orbit. Theorem 3.1 allows us to replace the maximum value by an  $L^p$  norm. To estimate the average value of an  $L^p$  norm, we use the following simple computation.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $G$  be a compact group acting in a  $d$ -dimensional real vector space  $V$  endowed with a  $G$ -invariant scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and let  $v \in V$  be a point. Let  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \subset V$  be the unit sphere endowed with the Haar probability measure  $dc$ . Then, for every positive integer  $k$ , we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left( \int_G \langle c, gv \rangle^{2k} dg \right)^{1/2k} dc \leq \sqrt{\frac{2k \langle v, v \rangle}{d}}.$$

PROOF. Applying Hölder’s inequality, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left( \int_G \langle c, gv \rangle^{2k} dg \right)^{1/2k} dc \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_G \langle c, gv \rangle^{2k} dg dc \right)^{1/2k}.$$

Interchanging the integrals, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_G \langle c, gv \rangle^{2k} dg dc = \int_G \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \langle c, gv \rangle^{2k} dc \right) dg. \quad 3.5.1$$

Now we observe that the integral inside has the same value for all  $g \in G$ . Therefore, (3.5.1) is equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \langle c, v \rangle^{2k} dc = \langle v, v \rangle^k \frac{\Gamma(d/2)\Gamma(k+1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(k+d/2)},$$

see, for example, [Barvinok 2002b].

Now we use that  $\Gamma(k+1/2) \leq \Gamma(k+1) \leq k^k$  and

$$\frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\Gamma(k+d/2)} = \frac{1}{(d/2)(d/2+1)\cdots(d/2+k-1)} \leq (d/2)^{-k}. \quad \square$$

#### 4. Some Geometric Corollaries

**The metric structure of the unit comass ball.** Let  $V_{m,n} = \bigwedge^m \mathbb{R}^n$  with the orthonormal basis  $e_I = e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_m}$ , where  $I$  is an  $m$ -subset  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m \leq n$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , and the corresponding scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset V_{m,n}$  be the Plücker embedding of the Grassmannian of oriented  $m$ -subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $B = \text{conv}(G_m(\mathbb{R}^n))$  be the unit mass ball, and let  $B^\circ \subset V_{m,n}^* = V_{m,n}$  be the unit comass ball, consisting of the linear functionals with the maximum value on  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  not exceeding 1, see Examples 1.3 and 2.6.

The most well-known example of a linear functional  $\ell : V_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of comass 1 is given by an exterior power of the Kähler form. Let us suppose that  $m$  and  $n$  are even, so  $m = 2p$  and  $n = 2q$ . Let

$$\omega = e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4 + \cdots + e_{q-1} \wedge e_q$$

and

$$f = \frac{1}{p!} \underbrace{\omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega}_{p \text{ times}} \in V_{m,n}.$$

Then

$$\max_{x \in G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \langle f, x \rangle = 1,$$

and, moreover, the subspaces  $x \in G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  where the maximum value 1 is attained look as follows. We identify  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mathbb{C}^q$  by identifying

$$\mathbb{R}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_2 = \mathbb{R}e_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_4 = \cdots = \mathbb{R}e_{q-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}e_q = \mathbb{C}.$$

Then the subspaces  $x \in G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\langle f, x \rangle = 1$  are exactly those identified with the complex  $p$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^q$ , see [Harvey and Lawson 1982].

We note that the Euclidean length  $\langle f, f \rangle^{1/2}$  of  $f$  is equal to  $\binom{q}{p}^{1/2}$ . In particular, if  $m = 2p$  is fixed and  $n = 2q$  grows, the length of  $f$  grows as  $q^{p/2} = (n/2)^{m/4}$ .

Another example is provided by the special Lagrangian calibration  $a$ . In this case,  $n = 2m$  and

$$a = \operatorname{Re} (e_1 + ie_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge (e_{2m-1} + ie_{2m}).$$

The length  $\langle a, a \rangle^{1/2}$  of  $a$  is  $2^{(m-1)/2}$ . The maximum value of  $\langle a, x \rangle$  for  $x \in G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is 1 and it is attained on the “special Lagrangian subspaces”, see [Harvey and Lawson 1982].

The following result shows that there exist calibrations with a much larger Euclidean length than that of the power  $f$  of the Kähler form or the special Lagrangian calibration  $a$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** (i) *Let  $c \in V_{m,n}$  be a vector such that*

$$\max_{x \in G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \langle c, x \rangle = 1.$$

*Then*

$$\langle c, c \rangle^{1/2} \leq \binom{n}{m}^{1/2}.$$

(ii) *There exists  $c \in V_{m,n}$  such that*

$$\max_{x \in G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \langle c, x \rangle = 1$$

*and*

$$\langle c, c \rangle^{1/2} \geq \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{m(n-1)(1+\ln m)}} \binom{n}{m}^{1/2},$$

*where  $\beta > 0$  is an absolute constant.*

*One can choose  $\beta = \exp(-0.5 - 0.5e^{-1} - 1/\ln 3)/\sqrt{2} \approx 0.14$ .*

**PROOF.** Part (1) follows since the convex hull of the Grassmannian contains a ball of radius  $\binom{n}{m}^{-1/2}$ ; see Example 2.6.

To prove Part (2), let us choose  $k = \lfloor m(n-1)(1+\ln m) \rfloor$  in Lemma 3.5. Then, by Corollary 3.4,

$$\alpha^{-1} \max_{x \in G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \langle c, x \rangle \leq \left( \int_{G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \langle c, x \rangle^{2k} dx \right)^{1/2k},$$

for some absolute constant  $\alpha > 1$ . We apply Lemma 3.5 with  $V = V_{m,n}$ ,  $d = \binom{n}{m}$ ,  $G = \operatorname{SO}(n)$ , and  $v = e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m$ . Hence  $\langle v, v \rangle = 1$  and there exists  $c \in V_{m,n}$  with  $\langle c, c \rangle = 1$  and such that

$$\left( \int_{G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \langle c, x \rangle^{2k} dx \right)^{1/2k} \leq \sqrt{2k} \binom{n}{m}^{-1/2}.$$

Rescaling  $c$  to a comass 1 functional, we complete the proof of Part (2).  $\square$

For  $m = 2$  the estimate of Part (2) is exact up to an absolute constant, as witnessed by the Kähler calibration. However, for  $m \geq 3$ , the calibration  $c$  of Part (2) has a larger length than the Kähler or special Lagrangian calibrations. The gap only increases when  $m$  and  $n$  grow. The distance to the origin of the supporting hyperplane  $\langle c, x \rangle = 1$  of the face of the convex hull of the Grassmannian is equal to  $\langle c, c \rangle^{-1/2}$  so the faces defined by longer calibrations  $c$  are closer to the origin. Thus, the faces spanned by complex subspaces or the faces spanned by special Lagrangian subspaces are much more “shallow” than the faces defined by calibrations  $c$  in Part (2) of the Theorem. We do not know if those “deep” faces are related to any interesting geometry. Intuitively, the closer the face to the origin, the larger piece of the Grassmannian it contains, so it is quite possible that some interesting classes of manifolds are associated with the “long” calibrations  $c$  [Morgan 1992].

**The volume of the set of nonnegative polynomials.** Let  $U_{m,n}$  be the space of real homogeneous polynomials  $p$  of degree  $m$  in  $n$  variables such that the average value of  $p$  on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is 0, so  $\dim U_{m,n} = \binom{n+m-1}{m} - 1$  for  $m$  even and  $\dim U_{m,n} = \binom{n+m-1}{m}$  for  $m$  odd. As before, we make  $U_{m,n}$  a Euclidean space with the  $L^2$  inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x)g(x) dx.$$

We obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $\Sigma_{m,n} \subset U_{m,n}$  be the unit sphere, consisting of the polynomials with  $L^2$ -norm equal to 1. For a polynomial  $p \in U_{m,n}$ , let*

$$\|p\|_\infty = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |p(x)|.$$

Then

$$\int_{\Sigma_{m,n}} \|p\|_\infty dp \leq \beta \sqrt{(n-1) \ln(m+1) + 1}$$

for some absolute constant  $\beta > 0$ . One can take  $\beta = \sqrt{2} \exp(1 + 0.5e^{-1}) \approx 4.63$ .

**PROOF.** Let us choose  $k = \lfloor (n-1) \ln(m+1) + 1 \rfloor$ . Then, by Corollary 3.2,

$$\|p\|_\infty \leq \alpha \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} p^{2k} dx \right)^{1/2k},$$

where we can take  $\alpha = \exp(1 + 0.5e^{-1})$ . Now we use Lemma 3.5. As in Examples 1.2 and 2.5, we identify the space  $U_{m,n}$  with the space of linear functionals  $\langle c, gv \rangle$  on the orbit  $\{gv : g \in \text{SO}(n)\}$  of  $v$ . By the remark after the proof of Theorem 2.4, we have  $\langle v, v \rangle = \dim U_{m,n}$ . The proof now follows.  $\square$

Thus the  $L^\infty$ -norm of a typical  $n$ -variate polynomial of degree  $m$  of the unit  $L^2$ -norm in  $U_{m,n}$  is  $O(\sqrt{(n-1) \ln(m+1) + 1})$ . In contrast, the  $L^\infty$  norm of a particular polynomial can be of the order of  $n^{m/2}$ , that is, substantially bigger.

Corollary 4.2 was used by the second author to obtain a bound on the volume of the set of nonnegative polynomials.

Let us consider the shifted set  $\text{Pos}'_{2k,n} \subset U_{2k,n}$  of nonnegative polynomials defined by (1.2.2). We measure the size of a set  $X \subset U_{2k,n}$  by the quantity

$$\left( \frac{\text{vol } X}{\text{vol } K} \right)^{1/d},$$

where  $d = \dim U_{2k,n}$  and  $K$  is the unit ball in  $U_{2k,n}$ , which is more “robust” than just the volume  $\text{vol } X$ , as it takes into account the effect of a high dimension; see Chapter 6 of [Pisier 1989].

The following result is from [Blekherman 2003], we made some trivial improvement in the dependence on the degree  $2k$ .

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $\text{Pos}'_{2k,n} \subset U_{2k,n}$  be the shifted set of nonnegative polynomials, let  $K \subset U_{2k,n}$  be the unit ball and let  $d = \dim U_{2k,n} = \binom{n+2k-1}{2k} - 1$ . Then*

$$\left( \frac{\text{vol } \text{Pos}'_{2k,n}}{\text{vol } K} \right)^{1/d} \geq \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{(n-1)\ln(2k+1)+1}}$$

for some absolute constant  $\gamma > 0$ . One can take  $\gamma = \exp(-1 - 0.5e^{-1})/\sqrt{2} \approx 0.21$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\Sigma_{2k,n} \subset U_{2k,n}$  be the unit sphere. Let  $p \in \Sigma_{2k,n}$  be a point. The ray  $\lambda p : \lambda \geq 0$  intersects the boundary of  $\text{Pos}'_{2k,n}$  at a point  $p_1$  such that  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} p_1(x) = -1$ , so the length of the interval  $[0, p_1]$  is  $|\min_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} p(x)| \leq \|p\|_\infty$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\text{vol } \text{Pos}'_{2k,n}}{\text{vol } K} \right)^{1/d} &= \left( \int_{\Sigma_{2k,n}} \left| \min_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} p(x) \right|^{-d} dp \right)^{1/d} \geq \left( \int_{\Sigma_{2k,n}} \|p\|_\infty^{-d} dp \right)^{1/d} \\ &\geq \int_{\Sigma_{2k,n}} \|p\|_\infty^{-1} dp \geq \left( \int_{\Sigma_{2k,n}} \|p\|_\infty dp \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

by the consecutive application of Hölder’s and Jensen’s inequalities, so the proof follows by Corollary 4.2.  $\square$

We defined  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$  as the set of nonnegative polynomials with the average value 1 on the unit sphere, see (1.2.1). There is an important subset  $Sq_{2k,n} \subset \text{Pos}_{2k,n}$ , consisting of the polynomials that are sums of squares of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $k$ . It is known that  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n} = Sq_{2k,n}$  if  $k = 1$ ,  $n = 2$ , or  $k = 2$  and  $n = 3$ , see Chapter 6 of [Bochnak et al. 1998]. The following result from [Blekherman 2003] shows that, in general,  $Sq_{2k,n}$  is a rather small subset of  $\text{Pos}_{2k,n}$ .

Translating  $p \mapsto p - (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^k$ , we identify  $Sq_{2k,n}$  with a subset  $Sq'_{2k,n}$  of  $U_{2k,n}$ .



THEOREM 4.4. *Let  $Sq'_{2k,n} \subset U_{2k,n}$  be the shifted set of sums of squares, let  $K \subset U_{2k,n}$  be the unit ball and let  $d = \dim U_{2k,n} = \binom{n+2k-1}{2k} - 1$ . Then*

$$\left(\frac{\text{vol } Sq_{2k,n}}{\text{vol } K}\right)^{1/d} \leq \gamma 2^{4k} \binom{n+k-1}{k}^{1/2} \binom{n+2k-1}{2k}^{-1/2}$$

for some absolute constant  $\gamma > 0$ . One can choose  $\gamma = \exp(1 + 0.5e^{-1}) \approx 3.27$ .

In particular, if  $k$  is fixed and  $n$  grows, the upper bound has the form  $c(k)n^{-k/2}$  for some  $c(k) > 0$ .

The proof is based on bounding the right hand side of the inequality of Theorem 4.4 by the average width of  $Sq_{2k,n}$ ; see Section 6.2 of [Schneider 1993]. The average width is represented by the integral

$$\int_{\Sigma_{2k,n}} \max_{f \in \Sigma_{k,n}} \langle g, f^2 \rangle dg.$$

By Corollary 3.2, we can bound the integrand by

$$\alpha \left( \int_{\Sigma_{k,n}} \langle g, f^2 \rangle^{2q} df \right)^{1/2q}$$

for some absolute constant  $\alpha$  and  $q = \binom{n+k-1}{k}$  and proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.5. The factor  $2^{4k}$  comes from an inequality of [Duoandikoetxea 1987], which allows us to bound the  $L^2$ -norm  $f^2$  by  $2^{4k}$  for every polynomial  $f \in \Sigma_{k,n}$ .

### Acknowledgment

We thank B. Sturmfels for suggesting to us to consider the convex hull of the Grassmannian and J. Sullivan for pointing to connections with calibrated geometries. We thank F. Morgan and an anonymous referee for comments.

### References

- [Ball 1997] K. Ball, “An elementary introduction to modern convex geometry”, pp. 1–58 in *Flavors of geometry*, edited by S. Levy, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **31**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [Barvinok 1992] A. I. Barvinok, “Combinatorial complexity of orbits in representations of the symmetric group”, pp. 161–182 in *Representation theory and dynamical systems*, Adv. Soviet Math. **9**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [Barvinok 2002a] A. Barvinok, *A course in convexity*, vol. 54, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [Barvinok 2002b] A. Barvinok, “Estimating  $L^\infty$  norms by  $L^{2k}$  norms for functions on orbits”, *Found. Comput. Math.* **2**:4 (2002), 393–412.
- [Barvinok and Vershik 1988] A. I. Barvinok and A. M. Vershik, “Convex hulls of orbits of representations of finite groups, and combinatorial optimization”, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **22**:3 (1988), 66–67.

- [Billera and Sarangarajan 1996] L. J. Billera and A. Sarangarajan, “All 0-1 polytopes are traveling salesman polytopes”, *Combinatorica* **16**:2 (1996), 175–188.
- [Blekherman 2003] G. Blekherman, “There are significantly more nonnegative polynomials than sums of squares”, 2003. Available at math.AG/0309130.
- [Blekherman 2004] G. Blekherman, “Convexity properties of the cone of nonnegative polynomials”, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **32**:3 (2004), 345–371.
- [Bochnak et al. 1998] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, and M.-F. Roy, *Real algebraic geometry*, vol. 36, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [Duoandikoetxea 1987] J. Duoandikoetxea, “Reverse Hölder inequalities for spherical harmonics”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **101**:3 (1987), 487–491.
- [Fulton and Harris 1991] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory*, vol. 129, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 1991.
- [Giannopoulos and Milman 2000] A. A. Giannopoulos and V. D. Milman, “Extremal problems and isotropic positions of convex bodies”, *Israel J. Math.* **117** (2000), 29–60.
- [Harvey and Lawson 1982] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, Jr., “Calibrated geometries”, *Acta Math.* **148** (1982), 47–157.
- [Harvey and Morgan 1986] R. Harvey and F. Morgan, “The faces of the Grassmannian of three-planes in  $\mathbf{R}^7$  (calibrated geometries on  $\mathbf{R}^7$ )”, *Invent. Math.* **83**:2 (1986), 191–228.
- [John 1948] F. John, “Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions”, pp. 187–204 in *Studies and Essays Presented to R. Courant on his 60th Birthday, January 8, 1948*, Interscience, 1948.
- [Kalai 1995] G. Kalai, “Combinatorics and convexity”, pp. 1363–1374 in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Zürich, 1994)*, vol. 2, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [van Lint 1999] J. H. van Lint, *Introduction to coding theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **86**, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [Morgan 1988] F. Morgan, “Area-minimizing surfaces, faces of Grassmannians, and calibrations”, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **95**:9 (1988), 813–822.
- [Morgan 1992] F. Morgan, “Calibrations and the size of Grassmann faces”, *Aequationes Math.* **43**:1 (1992), 1–13.
- [Müller 1966] C. Müller, *Spherical harmonics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **17**, Springer, Berlin, 1966.
- [Pisier 1989] G. Pisier, *The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **94**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [Schneider 1993] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn–Minkowski theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **44**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [Schrijver 2003] A. Schrijver, *Combinatorial optimization: polyhedra and efficiency*, Algorithms and Combinatorics **24**, Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[Vyalyı̄ 1995] M. N. Vyalyı̄, “On estimates for the values of a functional in polyhedra of the subgraph of least weight problem”, pp. 27–43 in Комбинаторные модели и методы, Ross. Akad. Nauk Vychisl. Tsentr, Moscow, 1995. In Russian.

ALEXANDER BARVINOK  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN  
ANN ARBOR, MI 48109-1109  
UNITED STATES  
barvinok@umich.edu

GRIGORIY BLEKHERMAN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN  
ANN ARBOR, MI 48109-1109  
UNITED STATES  
gblekher@umich.edu

