

Fibers of projections and submodules of deformations

ROYA BEHESHTI AND DAVID EISENBUD

We bound the complexity of the fibers of the generic linear projection of a smooth variety in terms of a new family of invariants. These invariants are closely related to ideas of John Mather, and we give a simple proof of his bound on the Thom–Boardman invariants of a generic projection as an application.

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation	4
3. Measuring the complexity of the fibers	4
4. General projections whose fibers contain given subschemes	8
5. A transversality theorem	13
References	15

1. Introduction

Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension n over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero, and let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$ be a general linear projection. In this note we introduce some new ways of bounding the complexity of the fibers of π . Our ideas are closely related to the groundbreaking work of John Mather, and we explain a simple proof of his result [1973] bounding the Thom–Boardman invariants of π as a special case.

This subject was studied classically for small n . In our situation the map π will be finite and generically one-to-one, so we are asking for bounds on the complexity of finite schemes, and the degree of the scheme is the obvious invariant. Consider, for simplicity, the case $c = 1$. It is well-known that the maximal degree of the fiber of a general projection of a curve to the plane is 2, and that the maximal degree of a fiber of a general projection of a smooth surface to three-space is 3. These results were extended to higher dimension and more general ground fields at the expense of strong hypotheses on the structure of the fibers by Kleiman, Roberts, Ran and others.

In characteristic zero, the most striking results are those of John Mather. In the case $c = 1$ and $n \leq 14$ he proved that a general projection π would be a stable

map, and as a consequence he was able to show that, in this case, the fibers of π have degree $\leq n + 1$. More generally, in case $n \leq 6c + 7$, or $n \leq 6c + 8$ and $c \leq 3$, he showed that the degree of any fiber of π is bounded by $n/c + 1$. He also proved that for any n and c , the number of distinct points in any fiber is bounded by $n/c + 1$; this is a special case of his result bounding the Thom–Boardman invariants.

An optimist (such as the second author), seeing these facts, might hope that the degree of the fibers of π would be bounded by $n/c + 1$ for any n and c . However, Lazarsfeld [2004, II, Proposition 7.2.17] showed that the singularities of $\pi(X)$ could have very high multiplicity when n is large. His ideas can also be used to prove that for large n and a sufficiently positive embedding of any smooth variety X in a projective space, a general linear projection of X to \mathbf{P}^{n+c} will have fibers of degree exponentially greater than n/c . The first case with $c = 1$ in which his argument gives something interesting is $n = 56$, where it shows that (if the embedding is sufficiently positive) then there will be fibers of degree ≥ 70 . For a proof see [Beheshti and Eisenbud 2010, Proposition 2.2].

Although we know no upper bound on the degrees of the fibers of π that depends only on n and c , we showed in [Beheshti and Eisenbud 2010] that there is a natural invariant of the fiber that agrees “often” with the degree and that is always bounded by $n/c + 1$.

In this note, we generalize the construction there and give a general mechanism for producing such invariants. Our approach is closely related to that of John Mather.

Here is a sample of the results we prove. We first ask how “bad” a finite scheme Y can be and still appear inside the fiber of the generic projection of a smooth n -fold to \mathbf{P}^{n+c} ? Our result is written in terms of the degree of Y and the degree of the *tangent sheaf* to Y , defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_Y = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_Y}(\Omega_{Y/K}, \mathbb{C}_Y).$$

We prove the following in Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension n , and let Y be a scheme of dimension zero. If for a general linear projection $\pi_\Sigma : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$, there is a fiber of π_Σ that contains Y as a closed subscheme, then*

$$\deg Y + \frac{1}{c} \deg \mathcal{T}_Y \leq \frac{n}{c} + 1.$$

This result easily implies (the special case for projections) of Mather’s result bounding the Thom–Boardman invariants (itself a special case of the transversality theorem he proves.) This is because, as Mather shows, the Thom Boardman

invariant of a germ at a point is determined by knowing whether certain subschemes are or are not contained in the fiber. By way of example, we carry out the proof of the following useful special case:

Corollary 1.2 (Mather). *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be a smooth subvariety of dimension n , and let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$ be a general projection with $c \geq 1$. Let p be a point in \mathbf{P}^{n+c} , and assume $\pi^{-1}(p)$ consists of r distinct points q_1, \dots, q_r . Denote by d_i the corank of π at q_i . Then*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \left(\frac{d_i^2}{c} + d_i + 1 \right) \leq \frac{n}{c} + 1.$$

In particular, the number of distinct points in every fiber is bounded by $n/c + 1$.

Mather’s approach to this theorem works because the subschemes involved in defining the Thom–Boardman singularities have no moduli—there is a discrete family of “test schemes”. In other situations it is much more common for a certain “type” of subscheme to appear in a fiber, although the subschemes themselves have nontrivial moduli. We can prove a result (Theorem 4.2) taking the dimension of the moduli space into account that sometimes gives sharper results. Suppose, for example, that you know that a generic projection from the smooth n -fold X to \mathbf{P}^{n+1} always has a fiber isomorphic to one of the schemes $Y_F := \text{Spec } k[x, y, z]/F + (x, y, z)^5$, where F varies over all nonsingular cubic forms. This “truncated cone over an elliptic curve”, which has degree 31, varies with one parameter of moduli. The only obvious subscheme common to all the Y_F is $\text{Spec } k[x, y, z]/(x, y, z)^3$. With Theorem 1.1 we get the bound $n \geq 36$. But if we apply Theorem 4.2 to the 1-dimensional moduli family of Y_F , we get the much stronger bound $n \geq 69$.

One motivation for the study of the complexity of the fibers of general projections comes from the Eisenbud–Goto conjecture [1984], which states that the regularity of a projective subvariety of \mathbf{P}^r is $\leq \deg(X) - \text{codim}(X) + 1$. An approach to this conjecture, which has been used to prove the conjecture for smooth surfaces and to prove a slightly weaker bound for smooth varieties of dimension at most 5 (see [Lazarsfeld 1987] and [Kwak 2000]), is to bound the regularity of the fibers of general projections.

Conjecture 1.3. *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension n , and let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$ be a general linear projection. If $Z \subset X$ is any fiber, then the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of Z as a subscheme of \mathbf{P}^r is at most $n/c + 1$.*

The truth of the conjecture would imply that the Eisenbud–Goto conjecture holds up to a constant that depends only on n and r and is given explicitly in [Beheshti and Eisenbud 2010]. If true, the conjecture is sharp in some cases: The

“Reye Construction” gives an Enriques surface in \mathbf{P}^5 whose projections to \mathbf{P}^3 all have 3 colinear points in some fibers; and an argument of Lazarsfeld shows that if X is a Cohen–Macaulay variety of codimension 2 in \mathbf{P}^{n+2} , and if X is not contained in a hypersurface of degree $\leq n$, then any projection of X into \mathbf{P}^{n+1} has fibers of length $n+1$. In this case any fiber is colinear. Since a scheme consisting of $n+1$ colinear points has regularity $n+1$, we get fibers of regularity $= n+1$ in these examples (see [Beheshti and Eisenbud 2010] for proofs.)

2. Notation

We will work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. If T is a coherent sheaf of finite support on some scheme, we identify T with its module of global sections and write $\deg T$ for the vector space dimension of this module over k .

We fix $r \geq 2$, and we denote by G_k the Grassmannian of linear subvarieties of codimension k in \mathbf{P}^r . Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n , and let $c \geq 1$. A linear projection $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$ is determined by a sequence l_1, \dots, l_{n+c+1} of $n+c+1$ independent linear forms on \mathbf{P}^r that do not simultaneously vanish at any point of X . Associated to such a projection is the projection center Σ , the linear space of codimension $n+c+1$ defined by the vanishing of the l_i . The map taking a linear projection to the associated projection center makes this set of projections into a $\mathrm{PGL}(n+c)$ -bundle over the subset $U \subset G_{n+c+1}$ of planes Σ that do not meet X .

We denote by π_Σ the linear projection $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$ with center Σ . The morphism π_Σ is birational, and its fibers are all zero-dimensional. The fibers of π have the form $X \cap \Lambda$, where $\Lambda \in G_{n+c}$ contains Σ .

We will keep this notation throughout this paper.

3. Measuring the complexity of the fibers

Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be a smooth subvariety of dimension n , and let H be a subscheme of G_{n+c} . For $[\Lambda] \in H$, set $Z = \Lambda \cap X$, and assume $\dim Z = 0$. Consider the restriction map

$$\rho : T_{G_{n+c}, [\Lambda]} = H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}) \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z$$

Let $V_G \subset N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z$ be the image of ρ and let $V_H = \rho(T_{H, [\Lambda]}) \subset V_G$. Denote by $\mathbb{O}_Z V_H$ the \mathbb{O}_Z -submodule of $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z$ generated by V_H , and let Q be the quotient module:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_Z V_H \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Here is our main technical result:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be a smooth subvariety of dimension n , and let H be a locally closed irreducible subvariety of \mathbf{G}_{n+c} , $c \geq 1$. Assume that, for a general $[\Sigma]$ in \mathbf{G}_{n+c+1} , there is $[\Lambda] \in H$ such that $\Sigma \subset \Lambda$. Then for a general $[\Lambda] \in H$, either $\Lambda \cap X$ is empty, or*

$$\deg Q \leq n + c.$$

The proof uses the following result, which will also be used in the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a smooth variety of dimension n in \mathbf{P}^r , and let H be a smooth locally closed subvariety of \mathbf{G}_{n+c} . Assume that for a general $[\Sigma]$ in \mathbf{G}_{n+c+1} , there is $[\Lambda] \in H$ such that $\Sigma \subset \Lambda$. Let $[\Sigma]$ be a general point of \mathbf{G}_{n+c+1} and let $[\Lambda]$ be a point of H such that $\Sigma \subset \Lambda$. If Q is as in Theorem 3.1, then the map*

$$H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}(-1)) \rightarrow Q$$

is surjective.

Proof. The restriction map $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z$ followed by the surjective map $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z \rightarrow Q$ gives a surjective map of \mathcal{O}_{Λ} -modules $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \rightarrow Q$. We denote the kernel by F :

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

We first show that the restriction map $H^0(F) \rightarrow H^0(F|_{\Sigma})$ is surjective. Consider the incidence correspondence

$$J = \{([\Sigma], [\Lambda]) : \Sigma \subset \Lambda, [\Lambda] \in H\} \subset \mathbf{G}_{n+c+1} \times H,$$

and assume that $[\Sigma]$ is a general point of \mathbf{G}_{n+c+1} . By our assumption the projection map $\pi_1 : J \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{n+c+1}$ is dominant. Since H is smooth, and since the projection map $\pi_2 : J \rightarrow H$ makes J a Grassmannian bundle over H , the space J is smooth as well. Thus by generic smoothness, π_1 is smooth at $([\Sigma], [\Lambda])$, and so the map on Zariski tangent spaces $T_{J,([\Sigma],[\Lambda])} \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{G}_{n+c+1},[\Sigma]}$ is surjective.

The short exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_{Σ} -modules

$$0 \rightarrow N_{\Sigma/\Lambda} \rightarrow N_{\Sigma/\mathbf{P}^r} \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_{\Sigma} \rightarrow 0$$

gives a surjective map $H^0(N_{\Sigma/\mathbf{P}^r}) \rightarrow H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_{\Sigma})$. Note that since Σ is general, $\Sigma \cap X = \emptyset$, and since Q is supported on $\Lambda \cap X$, $F|_{\Sigma} = N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_{\Sigma}$. It follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_{J,([\Sigma],[\Lambda])} & \twoheadrightarrow & T_{\mathbf{G}_{n+c+1},[\Sigma]} = H^0(N_{\Sigma/\mathbf{P}^r}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_{\Sigma}) \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow = \\ T_{H,[\Lambda]} & \longrightarrow & H^0(F) & \longrightarrow & H^0(F|_{\Sigma}) \end{array}$$

then that $H^0(F) \rightarrow H^0(F|_\Sigma)$ is surjective.

Consider now the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(-1) \rightarrow F \rightarrow F|_\Sigma \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $F|_\Sigma = N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_\Sigma$, we have $H^1(F|_\Sigma) = H^1(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_\Sigma) = 0$. Since

$$H^0(F) \rightarrow H^0(F|_\Sigma)$$

is surjective, we get $H^1(F \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(-1)) \cong H^1(F)$. Therefore, the image of the map $H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}) \rightarrow Q$ is the same as that of the map $H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(-1)) \rightarrow Q$, and thus by Proposition 3.3 both of these maps are surjective. \square

Proposition 3.3 [Beheshti and Eisenbud 2010, Proposition 3.1]. *Suppose that $\delta : A \rightarrow B$ is an epimorphism of coherent sheaves on \mathbf{P}^r , and suppose that A is generated by global sections. If $\delta(H^0(A)) \subset H^0(B)$ has the same dimension as $\delta(H^0(A(1))) \subset H^0(B(1))$, then $\dim B = 0$ and $\delta(H^0(A(m))) = H^0(B(m)) \cong H^0(B)$ for all $m \geq 0$.* \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Assume that for a general $[\Lambda]$ in H , $\Lambda \cap X$ is nonempty. It follows from Lemma 3.2, applied to the smooth locus in H , that the map $H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(-1)) \rightarrow Q$ is surjective. Therefore,

$$\deg Q \leq \dim H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(-1)) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda^{n+c}) = n + c.$$

\square

Since we have

$$(n + c) \deg Z = \deg N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z = \deg \mathcal{O}_Z V_H + \deg Q,$$

where $Z = \Lambda \cap X$, it follows from the above theorem that any upper bound on the degree of $\mathcal{O}_Z V_H$ puts some restrictions on the fibers of π_Σ for general Σ .

Corollary 3.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension n , and let $c \geq 1$ be an integer. Let H be a locally closed irreducible subvariety of \mathbf{G}_{n+c} , and assume that for a general projection π_Σ , there is $[\Lambda] \in H$ that contains Σ . Then for a general $[\Lambda] \in H$*

$$\deg Z \leq \frac{\deg \mathcal{O}_Z V_H}{n + c} + 1.$$

where $Z = \Lambda \cap X$.

For example, if we apply Theorem 3.1 to the scheme $H \subset \mathbf{G}_{n+c}$ whose points correspond to planes that intersect X in schemes of length $\geq l$, for some integer $l \geq 1$, we recover the central result of [Beheshti and Eisenbud 2010]. Recall that

for varieties $X, Y \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ that meet in a scheme $Z = X \cap Y$ of dimension zero, with $\text{codim } Y - \dim X > 0$ we there defined $q(X, Y)$ to be

$$q(X, Y) = \frac{\deg \text{coker } \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_{Z/X}/\mathcal{F}_{Z/X}^2, \mathbb{C}_Z) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_{Y/P}/\mathcal{F}_{Y/P}^2, \mathbb{C}_Z)}{\text{codim } Y - \dim X}.$$

For example, if X, Y are smooth and Z is a locally complete intersection scheme then $q(X, Y) = \deg X \cap Y$, and more generally q is a measure of the difficulty of flatly deforming Y in such a way that $Z = X \cap Y$ deforms flatly as well. Using this notation, and applying Theorem 3.1 to the fiber of a general projection, we derive:

Theorem 3.5. *If X is a smooth projective variety of dimension n in \mathbf{P}^r , and if $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$ is a general projection, then every fiber $X \cap \Lambda$, where Λ is a linear subspace containing the projection center in codimension 1, satisfies:*

$$q(X, \Lambda) \leq \frac{n}{c} + 1.$$

□

In [Beheshti and Eisenbud 2010] we derived explicit bounds on the lengths of fibers from this result.

3.1. A Problem. Fix positive integers l and m , and let H be the reduced subscheme of \mathbf{G}_{n+c} consisting of those planes Λ such that $\deg \Lambda \cap X = l$ and $\deg \Omega_{\Lambda \cap X} = m$. Assume that for a general projection $\pi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$, there is a fiber $\Lambda \cap X$ such that $[\Lambda]$ is in H . We would like to use Corollary 3.4 in this case to get a bound on the fibers of general projections stronger than, say, that of Corollary 1.2 in [Beheshti and Eisenbud 2010].

Assume that $[\Lambda] \in H$ is a general point, and let V_H be the image of $T_{H, [\Lambda]}$ in $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z$. Then since we assume that the length of the intersection with X is fixed for all points of H , V_H is a subspace of $N_{Z/X}$. If we denote by $V' \subset N_{Z/X}$ the tangent space to the space of first order deformations of Z in X that keep the degree of Ω_Z fixed, then $V_H \subset V'$.

If Z is an arbitrary zero-dimensional subscheme of a smooth variety X , then V' is not necessarily a submodule of $N_{Z/X}$. For example, if we let Z be the subscheme of \mathbf{A}^2 defined by the ideal $I = \langle x^4 + y^4, xy(x-y)(x+y)(x-2y) \rangle$, then Z is supported at the origin and is of degree 20. Using Macaulay 2, we find that the space of deformations of Z in \mathbf{A}^2 that fix the degree of Ω_Z is a vector space of dimension 17, but the \mathbb{C}_Z -module generated by this space is a vector space of dimension 18.

Is there an upper bound on the dimension of the submodule generated by V_H that is stronger than $\deg N_{Z/X}$? In the special case, when Z is curvilinear of

degree m (so $\deg \Omega_Z = m - 1$), V' is a submodule of degree $m \dim X - (m - 1) < \deg N_{Z/X}$. But if Z is of arbitrary degree and not curvilinear, we currently know no bound that could improve Corollary 1.2 in [Beheshti and Eisenbud 2010].

4. General projections whose fibers contain given subschemes

Fix a zero-dimensional scheme Y . We wish to give a bound on invariants of Y that must hold if Y appears as a subscheme of a fiber of the general projection of X to \mathbf{P}^{n+c} . Our result generalizes a key part of the proof of Mather's theorem bounding the Thom–Boardman invariants of a general projection.

In the following we write \mathcal{T}_Y for the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_Y := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\Omega_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ of Y , and similarly for X .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension n , and let Y be a scheme of dimension zero. If for a general linear projection $\pi_\Sigma : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$, there is a fiber of π_Σ that contains Y as a closed subscheme, then*

$$\deg Y + \frac{1}{c} \deg \mathcal{T}_Y \leq \frac{n}{c} + 1.$$

Proof. Let $\text{Hom}(Y, X)$ be the space of morphisms from Y to X , and let $I \subset \text{Hom}(Y, X) \times \mathbf{G}_{n+c}$ be the incidence correspondence parametrizing the pairs $([i], [\Lambda])$ such that i is a closed immersion from Y to $\Lambda \cap X$. Denote by H the image of I under the projection map $\text{Hom}(Y, X) \times \mathbf{G}_{n+c} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{n+c}$. We give H the reduced induced scheme structure.

Let $([i], [\Lambda])$ be a general point of I , and set $Z := \Lambda \cap X$. We consider Y as a closed subscheme of X , and we let $N_{Y/X} = \text{Hom}(I_{Y/X}, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ denote the normal sheaf of Y in X . The Zariski tangent space to $\text{Hom}(Y, X)$ at $[i]$ is isomorphic to $H^0(\mathcal{T}_X|_Y)$; see [Kollár 1996, Theorem I.2.16].

Denote by M' the \mathcal{O}_Y -submodule of $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Y$ generated by the image of the restriction map from the Zariski tangent space:

$$\rho_Y : T_{H, [\Lambda]} \hookrightarrow H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}) \longrightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Y.$$

We first claim that $\deg M' \geq (n + c) \deg Y - (n + c)$. Let Q' be the quotient of $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Y$ by M' :

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Y \rightarrow Q' \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote by M the submodule of $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z$ generated by the image of

$$\rho_Z : T_{H, [\Lambda]} \hookrightarrow H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}) \longrightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z,$$

and let Q be the cokernel:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{p}^r}|_Z \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

The surjective map $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{p}^r}|_Z \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{p}^r}|_Y$ carries M into M' , and thus induces a surjective map $Q \rightarrow Q'$. Since by Theorem 3.1, $\deg Q \leq n + c$, we have $\deg Q' \leq n + c$, and so

$$\deg M' = \deg N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{p}^r}|_Y - \deg Q' \geq (n + c) \deg Y - (n + c).$$

This establishes the desired lower bound.

We next give an upper bound on $\deg M'$. Since X is smooth, dualizing the surjective map $\Omega_X|_Y \rightarrow \Omega_Y$ into \mathbb{C}_Y , we get an injective map $\mathcal{T}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X|_Y$ and an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X|_Y \xrightarrow{\phi} N_{Y/X}.$$

Let π_1 and π_2 denote the projections maps from I to $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ and H respectively. We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_{I,([i],[\Lambda])} & \xrightarrow{d\pi_2} & T_{H,[\Lambda]} \hookrightarrow & T_{G_{n+c},[\Lambda]} = H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{p}^r}) & \\ \downarrow d\pi_1 & & & \downarrow \rho_Y & \\ T_{\text{Hom}(X,Y),[i]} = \mathcal{T}_X|_Y & & & & \\ \downarrow \phi & & \psi & & \\ N_{Y/X} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & & & N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{p}^r}|_Y \end{array}$$

where ψ is obtained by dualizing the map $I_{\Lambda/\mathbf{p}^r} \otimes \mathbb{C}_X \rightarrow I_{Y/X}$ into \mathbb{C}_Y .

It follows from the diagram that $\rho_Y(T_{H,[\Lambda]})$ is contained in the image of $\psi \circ \phi$. Since the image of $\psi \circ \phi : \mathcal{T}_X|_Y \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{p}^r}|_Y$ is a submodule of $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{p}^r}|_Y$, M' is contained in the image of $\psi \circ \phi$ as well. Thus the degree of M' is less than or equal to the degree of the image of ϕ , that is

$$\deg M' \leq \deg \mathcal{T}_X|_Y - \deg \mathcal{T}_Y = n \deg Y - \deg \mathcal{T}_Y.$$

Comparing this upper bound with the lower bound we got earlier completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.1 was inspired by the results of Mather on the Thom–Boardman invariants. Mather shows that the Thom–Boardman symbol of a germ of a map is determined by which of a certain discrete set of different subschemes the fiber contains. These are the schemes of the form $\text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1)^{t_1} +$

$(x_1, x_2)^{t_2} + \cdots + (x_1, \dots, x_n)^{t_n}$. His result that the general projection is transverse to the Thom–Boardman strata is closely related (see also Section 5.) We illustrate by proving the special case announced in the introduction.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. For $d \geq 1$, let $A_d = \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_d]}{m^2}$ where m is the ideal generated by x_1, \dots, x_d . If $q \in X$ is a point of corank d for the projection π , then there is a surjective map $\mathbb{O}_{\pi^{-1}(\pi(q)), q} \rightarrow A_d$.

Fix an integer $r \geq 1$, and fix a sequence of coranks $d_1 \geq \cdots \geq d_r \geq 0$. If we denote by Y the disjoint union of the schemes $\text{Spec } A_{d_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, then we have $\deg Y = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (d_i + 1)$ and $\deg \mathcal{T}_Y = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} d_i^2$.

Assume now that for a general linear projection $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$, there is a fiber consisting of at least r points q_1, \dots, q_r such that the corank of π at q_i is at least d_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then for a general linear projection with center Σ , there is a fiber $X \cap \Lambda$ and a closed immersion $i : Y \rightarrow \Lambda \cap X$. It follows from the previous theorem that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \left(\frac{d_i^2}{c} + d_i + 1 \right) = \deg Y + \frac{1}{c} \deg \mathcal{T}_Y \leq \frac{n}{c} + 1.$$

□

Except in a few situations, such as the Thom–Boardman computation above, it is more likely that for a general projection the fiber might be “of a certain type”, or contain one of a given family of special subschemes. In the next theorem, we generalize Theorem 4.1 to such a family of zero-dimensional schemes. We have separated the proofs because this version involves considerably more technique. But we do not repeat the final part of the argument, since it is the same as before.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension n . Suppose that B is an integral scheme of dimension m and that $p : U \rightarrow B$ is a flat family of zero dimensional schemes over B . For a point $b \in B$, let $\mathcal{T}_{U_b} = \text{Hom}(\Omega_{U_b}, \mathbb{O}_{U_b})$. If for a general projection $\pi_\Sigma : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$, $c \geq 1$, there is a fiber U_b of $p : U \rightarrow B$ such that U_b can be embedded in one of the fibers of π_Σ , then*

$$\left(1 - \frac{m}{c}\right) \deg U_b + \min_{b \in B} \left\{ \frac{1}{c} \deg \mathcal{T}_{U_b} \right\} \leq \frac{n}{c} + 1.$$

Proof. Passing to a desingularization, we can assume that B is smooth. Let $\text{Hom}_B(U, B \times X)$ be the functor

$$\text{Hom}_B(U, X \times B)(S) = \{B\text{-morphisms } U \times_B S \rightarrow (X \times B) \times_B S\}.$$

By [Kollár 1996, I.1.10], this functor is represented by a scheme $\text{Hom}_B(U, X \times B)$ over B that is isomorphic to an open subscheme of $\text{Hilb}(U \times X/B)$. The closed

points of $\mathrm{Hom}_B(U, X \times B)$ parametrize morphisms from fibers of $p : U \rightarrow B$ to X .

Denote by $I \subset \mathrm{Hom}_B(U, X \times B) \times \mathbb{G}_{n+c}$ the incidence correspondence consisting of the points $([i], [\Lambda])$ such that i is a closed immersion to $\Lambda \cap X$, and let H be the image of the projection map $I \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{n+c}$. We give H the reduced structure as a subscheme of \mathbb{G}_{n+c} .

Assume that $([i], [\Lambda])$ is a general point of I , and assume that $[i]$ represents the closed immersion $i : U_b \rightarrow \Lambda \cap X$, $b \in B$. Set $Y = U_b$ and $Z = \Lambda \cap X$. Denote by M' the \mathbb{O}_Y -submodule of $N_{\Lambda/\mathbb{P}^r}|_Y$ generated by the image of the restriction map

$$\rho_Y : T_{H, [\Lambda]} \hookrightarrow H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbb{P}^r}) \longrightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbb{P}^r}|_Y.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we need an upper bound on the degree of M' . Since $i : Y \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion, there is a natural map on the Zariski tangent spaces:

$$\phi : T_{\mathrm{Hom}_B(U, X \times B), [i]} \rightarrow T_{\mathrm{Hilb}(X), [Y]} = N_{Y/X}.$$

(If V is a flat family over $D := \mathrm{Spec} k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$, and if $f : V \rightarrow X \times D$ is such that $f_0 : V_0 \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion, then so is f . Thus a morphism $D \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_B(U, X \times B)$ gives a natural morphism $D \rightarrow \mathrm{Hilb}(X)$.) As a first step toward bounding the degree of M' we will show that the \mathbb{O}_Y -submodule of N_Y generated by the image of ϕ has degree at most $(n+m) \deg Y - \dim \mathcal{T}_Y$.

Note that the fiber of the map $\mathrm{Hom}_B(U, X \times B) \rightarrow B$ over b is $\mathrm{Hom}(Y, X)$. Therefore, the vertical Zariski tangent space to $\mathrm{Hom}_B(U, X \times B)$ at $[i]$ is isomorphic to $H^0(\mathcal{T}_X|_Y)$. Since Y is zero-dimensional, we may identify $H^0(\mathcal{T}_X|_Y)$ with $\mathcal{T}_X|_Y$.

Let (Q, \mathfrak{m}_Q) be the local ring of $\mathrm{Hom}_B(U, X \times B)$ at $[i]$, and let \mathfrak{m}_b be the maximal ideal of the local ring of B at b . There is an exact sequence of k -vector spaces:

$$(\mathfrak{m}_b Q + \mathfrak{m}_Q^2)/\mathfrak{m}_Q^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_Q/\mathfrak{m}_Q^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_Q/(\mathfrak{m}_b Q + \mathfrak{m}_Q^2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $(\mathfrak{m}_Q/(\mathfrak{m}_b Q + \mathfrak{m}_Q^2))^*$ is the vertical Zariski tangent space at $[i]$, it is equal to $H^0(\mathcal{T}_X|_Y)$. So dualizing the above sequence, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{T}_X|_Y) \rightarrow T_{\mathrm{Hom}_B(U, X \times B), [i]} \rightarrow V.$$

Since B is smooth of dimension m we see that

$$V = \mathrm{Hom}((\mathfrak{m}_b Q + \mathfrak{m}_Q^2)/\mathfrak{m}_Q^2, Q/\mathfrak{m}_b)$$

is a vector space of dimension $\leq m$.

Denote by N the quotient of $\mathcal{T}_X|_Y$ by \mathcal{T}_Y , and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \mathcal{T}_Y & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_X|_Y & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathrm{Hom}_B(U, X \times B), [i]} & \longrightarrow & V \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi & & \\
 & & N & \longrightarrow & N_{Y/X} & &
 \end{array}$$

The image of $\mathcal{T}_X|_Y$ under ϕ is contained in the image of $N \rightarrow N_{Y/X}$, which is a \mathbb{C}_Y -submodule of degree $\leq \deg \mathcal{T}_X|_Y - \deg \mathcal{T}_Y = n \deg Y - \deg \mathcal{T}_Y$. The degree of the \mathbb{C}_Y -submodule generated by the image of ϕ in $N_{Y/X}$ is therefore

$$\leq n \deg Y - \deg \mathcal{T}_Y + \dim V \cdot \deg Y = (n + \dim B) \deg Y - \dim \mathcal{T}_Y.$$

This establishes the desired upper bound on the degree of the \mathbb{C}_Y -submodule of N_Y generated by the image of ϕ .

Let π_1 and π_2 denote the projections maps from I to $\mathrm{Hom}_B(U, B \times X)$ and H respectively. We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T_{I, ([i], [\Lambda])} & \xrightarrow{d\pi_2} & T_{H, [\Lambda]} & \hookrightarrow & T_{G_{n+c}, [\Lambda]} = H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}) \\
 \downarrow d\pi_1 & & & & \downarrow \rho_Y \\
 T_{\mathrm{Hom}_B(U, B \times X), [i]} & & & & \\
 \downarrow \phi & & & & \downarrow \\
 N_{Y/X} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & & & N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Y
 \end{array}$$

The diagram shows that M' is contained in the submodule generated by the image of the composition of the maps $T_{\mathrm{Hom}_B(U, B \times X), [i]} \rightarrow N_{Y/X} \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Y$, so by the upper bound established before we have

$$\deg M' \leq (n + m) \deg Y - \dim \mathcal{T}_Y.$$

On the other hand, the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 shows that

$$\deg M' \geq (n + c) \deg Y - (n + c).$$

Thus, we get

$$(c - m) \deg Y + \deg \mathcal{T}_Y \leq n + c.$$

Since $\deg \mathcal{T}_{U_b}$ is an upper semicontinuous function on B , we get the desired result. \square

5. A transversality theorem

Mather defines a property of a smooth subvariety of a jet bundle that he calls *modularity*, and proves that a general projection has jets that are transverse to any modular subvariety. In this section we study a related definition that we hope will lead to bounds stronger than the one in Theorem 3.5.

Let H be a locally closed subscheme of $G := G_{n+c}$. Let $[\Lambda] \in H$ be such that $Z := \Lambda \cap X$ is zero-dimensional. Consider the restriction map

$$\rho : T_{G, [\Lambda]} = H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}) \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z,$$

and set $V_G = \rho(T_{G, [\Lambda]})$ and $V_H = \rho(T_{H, [\Lambda]}) \subset V_G$. Denote by $\mathbb{O}_Z V_H$ the \mathbb{O}_Z -submodule of $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z$ generated by V_H .

We call H *semimodular with respect to X* if whenever $Z = \Lambda \cap X$ is zero-dimensional, we have

$$V_G \cap \mathbb{O}_Z V_H = V_H.$$

Example 5.1. We describe examples of semimodular and nonsemimodular subschemes of $G = G_{n+c}$. First, let $l \geq 0$ be an integer. Let $U \subset G_{n+c} \times \mathbf{P}^r$ be the universal family over G_{n+c} , and let

$$U_X = U \cap (G_{n+c} \times X) \subset G_{n+c} \times \mathbf{P}^r$$

be the scheme theoretic intersection. By [Kollár 1996, I, Theorem 1.6], there is a locally closed subscheme H of G_{n+c} with the following property: For any morphism $S \rightarrow G_{n+c}$, the pullback of U_X to S is flat of relative dimension zero and relative degree l if and only if $S \rightarrow G_{n+c}$ factors through H . The closed points of H parametrize those linear subvarieties whose degree of intersection with X is l .

Denote the normal sheaf of Z in X by $N_{Z/X} = \text{Hom}(I_{Z/X}, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. If I and J are the ideal sheaves of X and Λ in \mathbf{P}^r respectively, then there is a surjective map of \mathbb{O}_Z -modules

$$\frac{J}{J^2 + IJ} \rightarrow \frac{J + I}{J^2 + I}.$$

Dualizing the above map into \mathbb{O}_Z , we get an injective map

$$N_{Z/X} \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z.$$

If M is the image of this map, then M is an \mathbb{O}_Z -module, and $V_G \cap M = V_H$, as one sees by considering morphisms from $\text{Spec } k[t]/(t^2)$. Therefore H is semimodular with respect to X .

For the next example, fix a point $p \in X$, and let $q \notin X$ be a point on a tangent line to X at p . Let H be the subvariety of G that consists of those linear subvarieties of codimension $n + c$ that pass through q . We claim that H is not a

semimodular subvariety. Pick $[\Lambda] \in H$ so that it passes through p and q , and set $Z = \Lambda \cap X$. Choose a system of homogenous coordinates x_0, \dots, x_{r-n-c} for Λ such that $p = (1 : 0 : \dots : 0)$, $q = (0 : 1 : 0 : \dots : 0)$, and $Z \subset U := \{x \in \Lambda \mid x_0 \neq 0\}$. We have $\mathbb{C}_U(U) = k[x_1, \dots, x_{r-n-c}]$, and since q is on the tangent plane to X , for any linear polynomial that vanishes on Z , the coefficient of x_1 is zero.

If we identify $T_{G, [\Lambda]}$ with the global sections of $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \simeq \mathbb{C}_{\Lambda}(1)^{n+c}$, then $T_{H, [\Lambda]}$ is identified with the $(n+c)$ -tuples of linear forms (L_1, \dots, L_{n+c}) in x_0, \dots, x_{r-n-c} vanishing at q . The image of

$$\rho : H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}) \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z \simeq \mathbb{C}_Z^{n+c}$$

contains $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $(x_1|_Z, 0, \dots, 0)$. But $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \rho(T_{H, [\Lambda]})$ and $(x_1|_Z, 0, \dots, 0) \notin \rho(T_{H, [\Lambda]})$. Thus H is not semimodular.

We now turn to the transversality result. If $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is a regular morphism between smooth varieties, and if H is a smooth subvariety of Y_2 , then f is called *transverse* to H if for every y in Y_1 , either $f(y) \notin H$ or

$$T_{H, f(y)} + df(T_{Y_1, y}) = T_{Y_2, f(y)}$$

where $df : T_{Y_1, y} \rightarrow T_{Y_2, f(y)}$ is the map induced by f on the Zariski tangent spaces.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension n , fix $c \geq 1$, and let H be a smooth subvariety of G_{n+c} that is semimodular with respect to X . For a general linear projection $\pi_{\Sigma} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$, the map*

$$\phi_{\Sigma} : \mathbf{P}^{n+c} \rightarrow G_{n+c}$$

that sends $y \in \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$ to the corresponding linear subvariety in \mathbf{P}^r is transverse to H .

Proof. Let $[\Sigma]$ be a general point of G_{n+c+1} . For $y \in \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$, let $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be the corresponding linear subvariety, the preimage of y under the projection map from \mathbf{P}^{n+c} , and set $Z = \Lambda \cap X$.

Assume $[\Lambda] \in H$, and let V_H be the image of $T_{H, [\Lambda]}$ under the restriction map

$$T_{G, [\Lambda]} = H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}) \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z.$$

Denote by Q the quotient of $N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z$ by $\mathbb{C}_Z V_H$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_Z V_H \rightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}|_Z \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we can consider Q as a sheaf of \mathbb{C}_{Λ} -modules that is supported on Z . Let $F = \ker(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \rightarrow Q)$, so that $\rho(T_{H, \Lambda}) \subset H^0(F)$. Since H is semimodular, $\mathbb{C}_Z V_H \cap V_G = V_H$, and hence $T_{H, [\Lambda]} = H^0(F)$.

To prove the statement, note that if for a general Σ , there is no $y \in \mathbf{P}^{n+c}$ with $\phi([\Sigma], y) \in H$, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, by Lemma 3.2, the map $H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\Lambda}(-1)) \rightarrow Q$ is surjective. Hence if we consider $H^0(F)$ and $H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\Lambda}(-1))$ as subspaces of $H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r})$, then we get

$$H^0(F) + H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\Lambda}(-1)) = H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}).$$

If we identify $T_{G_{n+c}, [\Lambda]}$ with the space of global sections of N_{Λ/\mathbf{P}^r} , then $d\phi_{\Sigma}(T_{\mathbf{P}^{n+c}, y})$ is identified with $H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\Lambda}(-1))$, and thus

$$T_{H, \phi_{\Sigma}(y)} + d\phi_{\Sigma}(T_{\mathbf{P}^{n+c}, y}) = H^0(N_{\Lambda/\mathbf{P}^r}) = T_{G_{n+c}, \phi_{\Sigma}(y)}. \quad \square$$

References

- [Beheshti and Eisenbud 2010] R. Beheshti and D. Eisenbud, “Fibers of generic projections”, *Compos. Math.* **146**:2 (2010), 435–456. MR 2011i:14087
- [Eisenbud and Goto 1984] D. Eisenbud and S. Goto, “Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity”, *J. Algebra* **88**:1 (1984), 89–133. MR 85f:13023
- [Kollár 1996] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, *Ergebnisse der Math. (3)* **32**, Springer, Berlin, 1996. MR 98c:14001
- [Kwak 2000] S. Kwak, “Generic projections, the equations defining projective varieties and Castelnuovo regularity”, *Math. Z.* **234**:3 (2000), 413–434. MR 2001e:14042
- [Lazarsfeld 1987] R. Lazarsfeld, “A sharp Castelnuovo bound for smooth surfaces”, *Duke Math. J.* **55**:2 (1987), 423–429. MR 89d:14007
- [Lazarsfeld 2004] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry, I: Classical setting: line bundles and linear series*, *Ergebnisse der Math. (3)* **48**, Springer, Berlin, 2004. MR 2005k:14001a
- [Mather 1973] J. N. Mather, “On Thom–Boardman singularities”, pp. 233–248 in *Dynamical systems* (Salvador, Brazil, 1971), Academic Press, New York, 1973. MR 50 #5843

beheshti@math.wustl.edu *Department of Mathematics, Washington University,
St. Louis, MO 63130, United States*

eisenbud@math.berkeley.edu *Department of Mathematics, University of California,
Berkeley, CA 94720, United States*

