

Combinatorial Games: selected short bibliography with a succinct gourmet introduction

AVIEZRI S. FRAENKEL AND RICHARD J. NOWAKOWSKI

1. Short bibliography

In this instalment of the Games Bibliography, Richard Nowakowski joined as a coauthor. Unlike previous instalments, this one is restricted, mainly, to new entries of the last few years that did not appear in previous versions, such as in “Games of No Chance 3” and the Electronic J. of Combinatorics (Surveys). We apologize profusely that due to lack of time, this version does not include all the new game papers we are aware of, and also lacks some unifying editing and polishing.

2. What are combinatorial games?

Roughly speaking, the family of *combinatorial games* consists of two-player games with perfect information (no hidden information as in some card games), no chance moves (no dice) and outcome restricted to (lose, win), (tie, tie) and (draw, draw) for the two players who move alternately. Tie is an end position such as in tic-tac-toe, where no player wins, whereas draw is a dynamic tie: any position from which a player has a nonlosing move, but cannot force a win. Both the easy game of nim and the seemingly difficult chess are examples of combinatorial games. And so is go. The shorter terminology *game, games* is used below to designate combinatorial games.

3. Why are games intriguing and tempting?

Amusing oneself with games may sound like a frivolous occupation. But the fact is that the bulk of interesting and natural mathematical problems that are hardest in complexity classes beyond *NP*, such as *Pspace*, *Exptime* and *Expspace*, are two-player games; occasionally even one-player games (puzzles) or even zero-player games (Conway’s “Life”). Some of the reasons for the high complexity of two-player games are outlined in the next section. Before that we note that in

addition to a natural appeal of the subject, there are applications or connections to various areas, including complexity, logic, graph and matroid theory, networks, error-correcting codes, surreal numbers, on-line algorithms, biology — and analysis and design of mathematical and commercial games!

But when the chips are down, it is this "natural appeal" that lures both amateurs and professionals to become addicted to the subject. What is the essence of this appeal? Perhaps the urge to play games is rooted in our primal beastly instincts; the desire to corner, torture, or at least dominate our peers. A common expression of these vile cravings is found in the passions roused by local, national and international tournaments. An intellectually refined version of these dark desires, well hidden beneath the façade of scientific research, is the consuming drive "to beat them all", to be more clever than the most clever, in short — to create the tools to *Mathter* them all in hot *combinatorial combat*! Reaching this goal is particularly satisfying and sweet in the context of combinatorial games, in view of their inherent high complexity.

With a slant towards artificial intelligence and classical-economic games, Judea Pearl wrote that games "offer a perfect laboratory for studying complex problem-solving methodologies. With a few parsimonious rules, one can create complex situations that require no less insight, creativity, and expertise than problems actually encountered in areas such as business, government, scientific, legal, and others. Moreover, unlike these applied areas, games offer an arena in which computerized decisions can be evaluated by absolute standards of performance and in which proven human experts are both available and willing to work towards the goal of seeing their expertise emulated by a machine. Last, but not least, games possess addictive entertaining qualities of a very general appeal. That helps maintain a steady influx of research talents into the field and renders games a convenient media for communicating powerful ideas about general methods of strategic planning."

To further explore the nature of games, we consider, informally, two subclasses.

- (i) Games People Play (*playgames*): games that are challenging to the point that people will purchase them and play them.
- (ii) Games Mathematicians Play (*mathgames*): games that are challenging to mathematicians or other scientists to play with and ponder about, but not necessarily to "the man in the street".

Examples of playgames are chess, go, hex, reversi; of mathgames: Nim-type games, Wythoff games, annihilation games, octal games.

Some "rule of thumb" properties, which seem to hold for the majority of playgames and mathgames are listed below.

- I. Complexity. Both playgames and mathgames tend to be computationally intractable. There are a few tractable mathgames, such as Nim, but most games still live in *Wonderland*: we are wondering about their as yet unknown complexity. Roughly speaking, however, NP-hardness is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for being a playgame! (Some games on Boolean formulas are Exptime-complete, yet none of them seems to have the potential of commercial marketability.)
- II. Boardfeel. None of us may know an exact strategy from a midgame position of chess, but even a novice, merely by looking at the board, gets some feel who of the two players is in a stronger position – even what a strong or weak next move is. This is what we loosely call *boardfeel*. Our informal definition of playgames and mathgames suggests that the former do have a boardfeel, whereas the latter don't. For many mathgames, such as Nim, a player without prior knowledge of the strategy has no inkling whether any given position is “strong” or “weak” for a player. Even when defeat is imminent, only one or two moves away, the player sustaining it may be in the dark about the outcome, which will startle and stump him. The player has no boardfeel. (Many mathgames, including Nim-type games, can be played, equivalently, on a board.)

Thus, in the boardfeel sense, simple games are complex and complex games are simple! This paradoxical property also doesn't seem to have an analog in the realm of decision problems. The boardfeel is the main ingredient which makes PlayGames interesting to play.
- III. Math Appeal. Playgames, in addition to being interesting to play, also have considerable mathematical appeal. This has been exposed recently by the theory of partizan games established by Conway and applied to endgames of go by Berlekamp, students and associates. On the other hand, mathgames have their own special combinatorial appeal, of a somewhat different flavor. They are created by mathematicians and appeal to them, since they experience special intellectual challenges in analyzing them. As Peter Winkler called a subset of them: “games people don't play”. We might also call them, in a more positive vein, “games mathematicians play”. Both classes of games have applications to areas outside game theory. Examples: surreal numbers (playgames), error correcting codes (mathgames). Both provide enlightenment through bewilderment, as David Wolfe and Tom Rodgers put it.
- IV. Existence. There are relatively few commercially successful playgames around. It seems to be hard to invent a playgame that catches the masses. In

contrast, mathgames abound. They appeal to a large subclass of mathematicians and other scientists, who cherish producing them and pondering about them. The large proportion of mathgames-papers in the games bibliography below reflects this phenomenon.

We conclude, *inter alia*, that for playgames, high complexity is desirable. Whereas in all respectable walks of life we strive towards solutions or at least approximate solutions which are polynomial, there are two “less respectable” human activities in which high complexity is savored. These are cryptography (covert warfare) and games (overt warfare). The desirability of high complexity in cryptography — at least for the encryptor! — is clear. We claim that it is also desirable for playgames.

It’s no accident that games and cryptography team up: in both there are adversaries, who pit their wits against each other! But combinatorial game theory is, in general, considerably harder than cryptography. For the latter, the problem whether the designer of a cryptosystem has a safe system can be expressed with two quantifiers only: \exists a cryptosystem such that \forall attacks on it, the cryptosystem remains unbroken? In contrast, the decision problem whether White can win if White moves first in a chess game, has the form: “ $\forall \exists \forall \dots \text{move: White wins?}$ ”, expressing the question whether White has an opening winning move — with an unbounded number of alternating quantifiers. This makes games the more challenging and fascinating of the two, besides being fun! See also the next section.

Thus, it’s no surprise that the skill of playing games, such as checkers, chess, or go has long been regarded as a distinctive mark of human intelligence.

4. Why are combinatorial games hard?

Existential decision problems, such as graph hamiltonicity and Traveling Salesperson (Is there a round tour through specified cities of cost $\leq C$?), involve a single existential quantifier (“Is there...?”). In mathematical terms an existential problem boils down to finding a path—sometimes even just verifying its existence—in a large “decision-tree” of all possibilities, that satisfies specified properties. The above two problems, as well as thousands of other interesting and important combinatorial-type problems are *NP-complete*. This means that they are *conditionally intractable*, i.e., the best way to solve them seems to require traversal of most if not all of the decision tree, whose size is exponential in the input size of the problem. No essentially better method is known to date at any rate, and, roughly speaking, if an efficient solution will ever be found

for any NP-complete problem, then all NP-complete problems will be solvable efficiently.

The decision problem whether White can win if White moves first in a chess game, on the other hand, has the form: Is there a move of White such that for *every* move of Black there is a move of White such that for *every* move of Black there is a move of White . . . such that White can win? Here we have a large number of alternating existential and universal quantifiers rather than a single existential one. We are looking for an entire subtree rather than just a path in the decision tree. Because of this, most nonpolynomial games are at least *Pspace-hard*. The problem for generalized chess on an $n \times n$ board, and even for a number of seemingly simpler mathgames, is, in fact, *Exptime-complete*, which is a *provable intractability*.

Put in simple language, in analyzing an instance of Traveling Salesperson, the problem itself is passive: it does not resist your attempt to attack it, yet it is difficult. In a game, in contrast, there is your opponent, who, at every step, attempts to foil your effort to win. It's similar to the difference between an autopsy and surgery. Einstein, contemplating the nature of physics said, "Der Allmächtige ist nicht boshaft; Er ist raffiniert" (The Almighty is not mean; He is sophisticated). NP-complete existential problems are perhaps sophisticated. But your opponent in a game can be very mean!

Another manifestation of the high complexity of games is associated with a most basic tool of a game: its *game-graph*. It is a directed graph Γ whose vertices are the positions of the game, and (u, v) is an edge if and only if there is a move from position u to position v . As an example, consider a directed graph G with some tokens distributed on its vertices. A move consists of selecting a token on vertex w and shifting it to z if and only if (w, z) is an edge of G . Since every subset of vertices of G (those occupied by tokens) is a position of the game, and thus a *single* vertex in Γ , the game graph Γ of the game on G has clearly exponential size in the size of G . This kind of behavior holds, in particular, for both nim-type and chess-type games. Analyzing a game means reasoning about its game-graph. We are thus faced with a problem that is *a priori* exponential, quite unlike many present-day interesting existential (optimization) problems.

A fundamental notion is the *sum* (disjunctive compound) of games. A sum is a finite collection of disjoint games; often very basic, simple games. Each of the two players, at every turn, selects one of the games and makes a move in it. If the outcome is not a draw, the sum-game ends when there is no move left in any of the component games. If the outcome is not a tie either, then in *normal* play, the player first unable to move loses and the opponent wins. The outcome is reversed in *misère* play.

If a game decomposes into a *disjoint* sum of its components, either from the beginning (Nim) or after a while (domineering), the potential for its tractability increases despite the exponential size of the game graph. As Elwyn Berlekamp remarked, the situation is similar to that in other scientific endeavors, where we often attempt to decompose a given system into its functional components. This approach may yield improved insights into hardware, software or biological systems, human organizations, and abstract mathematical objects such as groups.

If a game doesn't decompose into a sum of disjoint components, it is more likely to be intractable (Geography or Poset Games). Intermediate cases happen when the components are not quite fixed (which explains why misère play of sums of games is much harder than normal play) or not quite disjoint (Welter). Thane Plambeck and Aaron Siegel have recently revolutionized the theory of misère play, as reflected in the bibliography below.

The hardness of games is eased somewhat by the efficient freeware package “Combinatorial Game Suite”, courtesy of Aaron Siegel.

5. Breaking the rules

As the experts know, some of the most exciting games are obtained by breaking some of the rules for combinatorial games, such as permitting a player to pass a bounded or unbounded number of times, i.e., relaxing the requirement that players play alternately; or permitting a number of players other than two.

But permitting a payoff function other than (0,1) for the outcome (lose, win) and a payoff of $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ for either (tie, tie) or (draw, draw) usually, but not always, leads to *classical* games that are not combinatorial but undetermined, notably games with applications in economics. Bernhard von Stengel has recently initiated an important effort to establish a bridge between these and combinatorial games (see also section 8 below). Though there are a few individuals who work in both disciplines, an organic connection between the two disciplines has yet to be established.

6. Why is the bibliography vast?

In the realm of existential problems, such as sorting or Traveling Salesperson, most present-day interesting decision problems can be classified into tractable, conditionally intractable, and provably intractable ones. There are exceptions, to be sure, such as graph isomorphism (are two graphs isomorphic?), whose complexity is still unknown. But the exceptions are very few. In contrast, most games are still in Wonderland, as pointed out in section 2(I) above. Only a few games have been classified into the complexity classes they belong to. Despite

recent impressive progress, the tools for reducing Wonderland are still few and inadequate.

To give an example, many interesting games have a very succinct input size, so a polynomial strategy is often more difficult to come by (Richard Guy and Cedric Smith's octal games; Grundy's game). Succinctness and non-disjointness of games in a sum may be present simultaneously (Poset games). In general, the alternating quantifiers, and, to a smaller measure, "breaking the rules", add to the volume of Wonderland. We suspect that the large size of Wonderland, a fact of independent interest, is the main contributing factor to the bulk of the bibliography on games.

7. Why isn't it larger?

The bibliography below is a *partial* list of books and articles on combinatorial games and related material. It is partial not only because I constantly learn of additional relevant material I did not know about previously, but also because of certain self-imposed restrictions. The most important of these is that only papers with some original and nontrivial mathematical content are considered. This excludes most historical reviews of games and most, but not all, of the work on heuristic or artificial intelligence approaches to games, especially the large literature concerning computer games, especially computer chess. I have, however, included the compendium edited by David Levy [2009], which, with its extensive bibliography, can serve as a first guide to this world. Also some papers on chess-endgames and clever exhaustive computer searches of some games have been included.

On the other hand, papers on games that break some of the rules of combinatorial games are included liberally, as long as they are interesting and retain a combinatorial flavor. These are vague and hard to define criteria, yet combinatorialists usually recognize a combinatorial game when they see it. Besides, it is interesting to break also this rule sometimes! We have included some references to one-player games, e.g., towers of Hanoi, n -queen problems, 15-puzzle, peg-solitaire, pebbling and others, but only few zero-player games (such as Life and some games on "sand piles"). We have also included papers on various applications of games, especially when the connection to games is substantial or the application is interesting or important.

8. Meetings and publications

Conferences on combinatorial games, such as an AMS short course in Columbus, OH (1990), workshops at MSRI (1994, 2000), at BIRS (2005, 2011) resulted in books, or a special issue of a journal – the latter for the Dagstuhl seminar (2002).

During 1990–2001, *Theoretical Computer Science* ran a special Mathematical Games Section whose main purpose was to publish papers on combinatorial games. TCS still solicits papers on games. In 2002, *Integers—Electronic J. of Combinatorial Number Theory* began publishing a Combinatorial Games Section. The semi-regular ‘Games at Dal’ (8 meetings between 2001 and 2014) and the regular Integers Conference have generated papers to be found in the above journals. The combinatorial games community is growing in quantity and quality! In 2011 the “Game Theory Society” (classical games) posted on its web site <http://www.gametheorysociety.org/> a call for papers inviting submissions of high-class papers in combinatorial game theory for its flagship publication *Intern. J. Game Theory*. Indeed, we witness a recent large influx of combinatorial game papers submissions to IJGT. Whether this will lead to fruitful scientific cooperation between the two communities remains to be seen.

9. The dynamics of the bibliography

The game bibliography below is very dynamic in nature. Previous versions have been circulated to colleagues, intermittently, since the early 1980’s (surface mail!). Prior to every mailing updates were prepared, and usually also afterwards, as a result of the comments received from several correspondents. Naturally, the listing can never be “complete”.

Because of its dynamic nature, it is natural that the bibliography became a “Dynamic Survey” in the Dynamic Surveys (DS) section of the *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* (EJJC) <http://www.combinatorics.org/> (click on “Surveys”). The EJJC has mirrors at various locations. Furthermore, the European Mathematical Information Service (EMIS) mirrors this Journal, as do all of its mirror sites (currently forty of them). See <http://www.emis.de/tech/mirrors/index.html>

10. An appeal

I ask readers to continue sending to me corrections and comments; and inform me of significant omissions, remembering, however, that it is a *selected* bibliography. I prefer to get reprints, preprints or URLs, rather than only titles — whenever possible.

Material on games is mushrooming on the Web. The URLs can be located using a standard search engine, such as Google.

11. Idiosyncrasies

Most of the bibliographic entries refer to items written in English, though there is a sprinkling of Danish, Dutch, French, German, Japanese, Slovakian and Russian, as well as some English translations from Russian. The predominance of English may be due to certain prejudices, but it also reflects the fact that nowadays the *lingua franca* of science is English. In any case, I'm soliciting also papers in languages other than English, especially if accompanied by an abstract in English.

On the administrative side, Technical Reports, submitted papers and unpublished theses have normally been excluded; but some exceptions have been made. Abbreviations of book series and journal names usually follow the *Math Reviews* conventions. Another convention is that de Bruijn appears under D, not B; von Neumann under V, not N, McIntyre under M not I, etc.

Earlier versions of this bibliography have appeared, under the title "Selected bibliography on combinatorial games and some related material", as the master bibliography for the book *Combinatorial Games*, AMS Short Course Lecture Notes, Summer 1990, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, *Proc. Symp. Appl. Math.* **43** (R. K. Guy, ed.), AMS 1991 with 400 items; and in the *Dynamic Surveys* section of the *Electronic J. of Combinatorics* in November 1994 with 542 items, updated there at odd times. It also appeared as the master bibliography in *Games of No Chance*, Proc. MSRI Workshop on Combinatorial Games, July, 1994, Berkeley, CA (R. J. Nowakowski, ed.), MSRI Publ. Vol. 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996, under the present title, containing 666 items. The version published in the palindromic year 2002 contained the palindromic number 919 of references (*More Games of No Chance*, Proc. MSRI Workshop on Combinatorial Games, July, 2000, Berkeley, CA, R. J. Nowakowski, ed., MSRI Publ. Vol. 42, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. In GONC 3 (Games Of No Chance) it contained 1360 items.

12. Acknowledgments

Many people have suggested additions to the bibliography, or contributed to it in other ways. Ilan Vardi distilled my *Math-master* (§2) into *Mathter*. Among those that contributed more than two or three items are: Akeo Adachi, Ingo Althöfer, Thomas Andrae, Eli Bachmupsky, the late Adriano Barlotti, József Beck, the late Claude Berge, Gerald E. Bergum, the late H. S. MacDonald Coxeter, Thomas S. Ferguson, James A. Flanigan, Fred Galvin, the late Martin Gardner, Alan J. Goldman, Solomon W. Golomb, Richard K. Guy, Shigeki Iwata, David S. Johnson, Victor Klee, Donald E. Knuth, the late Anton Kotzig, Jeff C. Lagarias,

Michel Las Vergnas, Hendrik W. Lenstra, Hermann Loimer, F. Lockwood Morris, Richard J. Nowakowski, Judea Pearl, J. Michael Robson, David Singmaster, Wolfgang Slany, Cedric A. B. Smith, Rastislav Telgársky, Mark D. Ward, Yōhei Yamasaki and others. Thanks to all and keep up the game! Special thanks to Mark Ward who went through the entire file with a fine comb in late 2005, when it contained 1,151 items, correcting errors and typos. Many thanks also to various anonymous helpers who assisted with the initial \TeX file, to Silvio Levy, who has edited and transformed it into $\text{\LaTeX}2\text{e}$ in 1996, and to Wolfgang Slany, who has transformed it into a BIB \TeX file at the end of the previous millennium, and solved a “new millennium” problem encountered when the bibliography grew beyond 999 items. Keen users of the bibliography will notice that there is a beginning of MR references, due to Richard Guy’s suggestion, facilitated by his former student Alex Fink. I have learned from Kevin O’Bryant’s paper “Fraenkel’s partition and Brown’s decomposition”, *Integers* 3 (2003), A11, 17 pp., that Lord Rayleigh had anticipated the so-called ‘Beatty’s Theorem’ many years before Beatty, but without proof. See the Rayleigh and Beatty entries below.

13. The Bibliography

1. S. Abbasi and N. Sheikh [2008], Complexity of question/answer games, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **409**, 364–381, 2010i:68049.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2008.08.034>
2. S. Abbasi and N. Sheikh [2008], Question/answer games on towers and pyramids, in: *Mathematical foundations of computer science 2008*, Vol. 5162 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 83–95, 2011c:68157.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-85238-4_6
3. M. H. Albert and R. J. Nowakowski [2011], Lattices of games, *Order* **16**, 1–10.
4. M. R. Allen [2015], Peeking at partizan misère quotients, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 1–12.
5. N. Alon and A. Mehrabian [2011], On a generalization of Meyniel’s conjecture on the Cops and Robbers game, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 19, 7, 2012c:05205.
6. V. Andrejić [2009], On a combinatorial game, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)* **86(100)**, 21–25, 2011a:91085.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2298/PIM0900021A>
7. S. D. Andres [2010], Directed defective asymmetric graph coloring games, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **158**, 251–260, 2011c:05223.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2009.04.025>
8. S. D. Andres [2010], Erratum to: The incidence game chromatic number [Discrete Appl. Math. 157 (9) (2009) 1980–1987] [MR2522464], *Discrete Appl. Math.*

- 158(6), 728, 2011c:05120.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2009.11.017>
9. S. D. Andres [2010], Note on the number of rooted complete N -ary trees, *Ars Combin.* **94**, 465–469, 2010m:05150.
 10. S. D. Andres [2009], Asymmetric directed graph coloring games, *Discrete Math.* **309**(18), 5799–5802, 2010m:05194.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.03.022>
 11. S. D. Andres [2009], Game-perfect graphs, *Math. Methods Oper. Res.* **69**(2), 235–250, 2011a:05124.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00186-008-0256-3>
 12. S. D. Andres [2009], Lightness of digraphs in surfaces and directed game chromatic number, *Discrete Math.* **309**(11), 3564–3579, 2011a:05126.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.12.060>
 13. S. D. Andres [2009], The incidence game chromatic number, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **157**(9), 1980–1987, 2010i:05108.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2007.10.021>
 14. S. D. Andres and W. Hochstättler [2011], The game chromatic number and the game colouring number of classes of oriented cactuses, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **111**(5), 222–226, 2012b:05109.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ipl.2010.11.020>
 15. S. D. Andres, W. Hochstättler and C. Schallück [2011], The game chromatic index of wheels, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **159**(16), 1660–1665, 2825608.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2010.05.003>
 16. J. C. S. Araújo and C. L. Sales [2009], Grundy number of P_4 -classes, in: *LAGOS '09—V Latin-American Algorithms, Graphs and Optimization Symposium*, Vol. 35 of *Electron. Notes Discrete Math.*, Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, pp. 21–27, 2579402.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.endm.2009.11.005>
 17. M. Asté, F. Havet and C. Linhares-Sales [2010], Grundy number and products of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **310**(9), 1482–1490, 2011g:05090.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2009.09.020>
 18. J. Balogh and W. Samotij [2011], On the Chvátal-Erdős triangle game, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 72, 15, 2012c:05206.
 19. J. Barát and M. Stojaković [2010], On winning fast in avoider-enforcer games, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17**(1), Research Paper 56, 12, 2011d:91058.
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_17/Abstracts/v17i1r56.html
 20. T. Bartnicki, B. Brešar, J. Grytczuk, M. Kovše, Z. Miechowicz and I. Peterin [2008], Game chromatic number of Cartesian product graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **15**(1), Research Paper 72, 13, MR2411449 (2009c:05069).
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_15/Abstracts/v15i1r72.html
 21. S. Beatty [1926], Problem 3173, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **33**, 159, Solution [to Problem 3177, numbering in **33** was erroneous] in **34** (1927) 159–160.

22. L. Beaudou, E. Duchêne and S. Gravier [2015], A survey about Solitaire Clobber, in: *Games of No Chance 4*, Cambridge University Press.
23. R. A. Beeler and D. Paul Hoilman [2011], Peg solitaire on graphs, *Discrete Math.* **311**(20), 2198–2202, 2825664.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2011.07.006>
24. G. I. Bell [2009], The shortest game of Chinese checkers and related problems, *Integers* **9**, G01, 22, MR2475631 (2010a:91035).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/INTEG.2009.003>
25. G. I. Bell [2008], Solving triangular peg solitaire, *J. Integer Seq.* **11**, Article 08.4.8, 22, 2009h:91050.
26. J. Bell and B. Stevens [2009], A survey of known results and research areas for n -queens, *Discrete Math.* **309**, 1–31, 2010a:05002.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.12.043>
27. J. Bell and B. Stevens [2008], Results for the n -queens problem on the Möbius board, *Australas. J. Combin.* **42**, 21–34, 2009h:05049.
28. E. Berkove, J. Van Sickle, B. Hummon and J. Kogut [2008], An analysis of the (colored cubes)³ puzzle, *Discrete Math.* **308**(7), 1033–1045, MR2382343.
29. A. M. Bersani [2010], Reformed permutations in Mousetrap and its generalizations, *Integers* **10**, G01, 575–622, 2012d:05021.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/INTEG.2010.101>
30. S. Bhattacharya, G. Paul and S. Sanyal [2010], A cops and robber game in multidimensional grids, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **158**(16), 1745–1751, 2012b:05178.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2010.06.014>
31. J. Björklund and C. Holmgren [2012], Counterexamples to a monotonicity conjecture for the threshold pebbling number, *Discrete Math.* **312**, 2401–2405.
32. S. A. Blanco and A. S. Fraenkel [2011], Tromping games: tiling with trominoes, *Integers* **11**(3), 333–362, 2988066.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/integ.2011.026>
33. V. D. Blondel, J. M. Hendrickx and R. M. Jungers [2008], Solitaire Clobber as an optimization problem on words, *Integers* **8**, G04, 12, MR2425633 (2009d:91038).
34. G. Bloom, M. Lampis, F. A. Muntaner-Batle and M. Rius-Font [2011], Queen labelings, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* **8**(1), 13–22, 2012f:05252.
35. H. L. Bodlaender, S. Thomassé and A. Yeo [2011], Kernel bounds for disjoint cycles and disjoint paths, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **412**(35), 4570–4578, 2850415.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2011.04.039>
36. T. Bohman, O. Pikhurko, A. Frieze and D. Sleator, Hat problems, The Puzzle Toad.
<http://www.cs.cmu.edu/puzzle/solution15.pdf>
37. A. Bonato, E. Chiniforooshan and P. Prałat [2010], Cops and Robbers from a distance, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **411**(43), 3834–3844, 2012d:05251.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.07.003>

38. A. Bonato, P. Golovach, G. Hahn and J. Kratochvíl [2009], The capture time of a graph, *Discrete Math.* **309**(18), 5588–5595, 2010j:05273.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.04.004>
39. A. Bonato, G. Hahn and C. Tardif [2010], Large classes of infinite k -cop-win graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **65**(4), 334–342, 2011k:05157.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgt.20484>
40. A. Bonato, G. Kemkes and P. Prałat [2012], Almost all cop-win graphs contain a universal vertex, *Discrete Math.* **312**, 1652–1657.
41. A. Bonato and R. J. Nowakowski [2011], *The game of cops and robbers on graphs*, Vol. 61 of *Student Mathematical Library*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2830217.
42. E. Boros, V. Gurvich and V. Oudalov [2013], A polynomial algorithm for a two parameter extension of Wythoff NIM based on the Perron-Frobenius theory, *Internat. J. Game Theory* **42**(4), 891–915, 3111666.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00182-012-0338-6>
43. B. Brešar, S. Klavžar and D. F. Rall [2010], Domination game and an imagination strategy, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **24**(3), 979–991, 2011g:05202.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/100786800>
44. K. W. Burke and S.-H. Teng [2008], Atropos: a PSPACE-complete Sperner triangle game, *Internet Math.* **5**(4), 477–492 (2009), 2011d:05240.
<http://projecteuclid.org/getRecord?id=euclid.im/1265033176>
45. S. Butler, M. T. Hajiaghayi, R. D. Kleinberg and T. Leighton [2008], Hat guessing games, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **22**(2), 592–605, Reprinted in SIAM Rev. 51 (2009), 399–413, MR2399367 (2009f:91016).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/060652774>
46. G. Cairns and N. B. Ho [2012], A restriction of Euclid, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc* **86**, 506–509.
47. G. Cairns and N. B. Ho [2011], Some remarks on End-Nim, *Int. J. Comb.* pp. Art. ID 824742, 9, 2861127.
48. G. Cairns and N. B. Ho [2010], Min, a combinatorial game having a connection with prime numbers, *Integers* **10**, G03, 765–770, 2797778.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/INTEG.2010.103>
49. G. Cairns and N. B. Ho [2010], Ultimately bipartite subtraction games, *Australas. J. Combin.* **48**, 213–220, 2011m:05199.
50. G. Cairns, N. B. Ho and T. Lengyel [2011], The Sprague-Grundy function of the real game Euclid, *Discrete Math.* **311**(6), 457–462, 2799898.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2010.12.011>
51. N. J. Calkin, K. James, J. E. Janoski, S. Leggett, B. Richards, N. Sitaraman and S. M. Thomas [2010], Computing strategies for graphical Nim, *Proceedings of the Forty-First Southeastern International Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*, Vol. 202, pp. 171–185, 2011j:05222.

52. A. Carvalho and C. Pereira dos Santos [2010], Chess and mathematics, in: *Recreational Mathematics Colloquium I*, Assoc. Ludus, Lisbon, pp. 3–26, 2807390.
53. A. Carvalho, C. Santos, C. Dias, F. Coelho, J. Neto, and S. Vinagre [2012], A recursive process related to a partizan version of Wythoff, *Integers, Electr. J. of Combinat. Number Theory* **12**, #G3, 15 pp., Comb. Games Sect.
<http://www.integers-ejc.org/vol12.html>
54. T. Cazenave [2015], Monte-Carlo approximation of temperature, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 41–46.
55. T. Cazenave and R. J. Nowakowski [2015], Retrograde analysis of Woodpush, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 47–56.
56. S. Chaiken, C. R. H. Hanusa and T. Zaslavsky [2010], Nonattacking queens in a rectangular strip, *Ann. Comb.* **14**(4), 419–441, 2776757 (2012d:05034).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00026-011-0068-7>
57. J. Chalopin, V. Chepoi, N. Nisse and Y. Vaxès [2011], Cop and robber games when the robber can hide and ride, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **25**(1), 333–359, 2801232.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/100784035>
58. M. Chan and A. P. Godbole [2008], Improved pebbling bounds, *Discrete Math.* **308**, 2301–2306, MR2404560.
59. H. Chang and X. Zhu [2009], Colouring games on outerplanar graphs and trees, *Discrete Math.* **309**(10), 3185–3196, 2011a:05097.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.09.015>
60. V. Chatelain and J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín [2012], The switching game on unions of oriented matroids, *European J. Combin.* **33**(2), 215–219, 2854642.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2011.08.006>
61. L.-J. Chen, J.-J. Lin, M.-Z. Shieh and S.-C. Tsai [2011], More on the Magnus-Derek game, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **412**(4-5), 339–344, 2012c:91059.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.09.031>
62. R. W. Chen, A. Zame and B. Rosenberg [2009], On the first occurrence of strings, *Electron. J. Combin.* **16**(1), Research Paper 29, 16, MR2482097 (2010h:91058).
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_16/Abstracts/v16i1r29.html
63. X. Chen, X. Deng and S.-H. Teng [2009], Settling the complexity of computing two-player Nash equilibria, *J. ACM* **56**(3), Art. 14, 57, 2010i:68040.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1516512.1516516>
64. S.-H. Chiang, I.-C. Wu and P.-H. Lin [2011], Drawn k -in-a-row games, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **412**(35), 4558–4569, 2850414.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2011.04.033>
65. P. Ciancarini and G. P. Favini [2010], Monte Carlo tree search in Kriegspiel, *Artificial Intelligence* **174**(11), 670–684, 2011j:91060.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.artint.2010.04.017>

66. P. Ciancarini and G. P. Favini [2010], Playing the perfect Kriegspiel endgame, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **411**(40-42), 3563–3577, 2011h:91055.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.05.019>
67. J. Cibulka, J. Kynčl, V. Mészáros, R. Stolarč and P. Valtr [2013], Graph sharing games: complexity and connectivity, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **494**, 49–62, Preliminary version in: Theory and applications of models of computation, Springer, 2010, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci, vol. 6108, pp. 340–349, 2010, 3066015.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2012.12.029>
68. J. Cibulka, V. Mészáros, R. Stolarč and P. Valtr [2010], Solution of Peter Winkler’s pizza problem, in: *Fete of combinatorics and computer science*, Vol. 20 of *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, pp. 63–93, 2797961.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-13580-4_4
69. A. Cincotti [2014], Lattices of Multi-player Games, *Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists*, Vol. II, pp. 3–6.
70. A. Cincotti [2014], Counting the Number of Multi-player Partizan Cold Games Born by Day d, *Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering*, Vol. 275, pp. 289–304.
71. A. Cincotti [2011], The game of n -player Cutcake, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **412**(41), 5678–5683, 2866564.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2011.06.036>
72. A. Cincotti [2011], The lattice structure of three-player games, in: *Computers and games*, Vol. 6515 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 230–237, 2804004.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-17928-0_21
73. A. Cincotti [2010], n -player partizan games, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **411**(34–36), 3224–3234, 2011f:91035.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.05.018>
74. A. Cincotti [2010], The game of synchronized quadromineering, *Int. J. Comput. Math. Sci.* **4**(4), 183–187, 2011f:91034.
75. A. Cincotti [2009], Further results on three-player domineering, *Int. J. Comput. Math. Sci.* **3**(6), 286–288, 2011j:91061.
76. A. Cincotti [2009], On the complexity of N -player Hackenbush, *Integers* **9**, G03, 621–627, 2011b:91078.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/INTEG.2009.049>
77. A. Cincotti [2008], The game of Cutblock, *Integers* **8**, G06, 12, MR2425635 (2009g:91039).
78. A. Cincotti, S. Komori and H. Iida [2008], The game of synchronized triomineering and synchronized tridomineering, *Int. J. Comput. Math. Sci.* **2**(3), 143–148, 2011a:91087.
79. S. Clark, P. Johnson and M. Walsh [2011], Edge-sensitivity of the Grundy number, *Proceedings of the Forty-Second Southeastern International Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*, Vol. 207, pp. 171–180, 2856318.

80. N. E. Clarke [2009], A witness version of the cops and robber game, *Discrete Math.* **309**, 3292–3298, 2011a:91080.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.09.032>
81. N. E. Clarke, S. L. Fitzpatrick, A. Hill and R. J. Nowakowski [2010], Edge critical cops and robber, *Discrete Math.* **310**, 2299–2309, 2011k:05057.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2010.05.004>
82. S. Collette, E. D. Demaine, M. L. Demaine and S. Langerman [2015], Narrow misère Dots-and-Boxes, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 57–64.
83. D. Collins and T. Lengyel [2008], The game of 3-Euclid, *Discrete Math.* **308**(7), 1130–1136, 2008k:91050.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.03.064>
84. D. Craft, Z. Miller and D. Pritikin [2009], A solitaire game played on 2-colored graphs, *Discrete Math.* **309**, 188–201, 2010a:91030.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.12.069>
85. A. Csernenszky, C. I. Mándity and A. Pluhár [2009], On Chooser-Picker positional games, *Discrete Math.* **309**, 5141–5146, 2011e:05171.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2009.03.051>
86. A. Csernenszky, R. R. Martin and A. Pluhár [2011], On the complexity of chooser-picker positional games, *Integers, Electr. J. of Combinat. Number Theory* **11**, #G02, 16 pp., Comb. Games Sect.
<http://www.integers-ejcnt.org/vol11.html>
87. D. Curtis, T. Hines, G. Hurlbert and T. Moyer [2009], On pebbling graphs by their blocks, *Integers* **9**, G02, 411–422, 2011b:05169.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/INTEG.2009.033>
88. C. Daskalakis, P. W. Goldberg and C. H. Papadimitriou [2009], The complexity of computing a Nash equilibrium, *SIAM J. Comput.* **39**(1), 195–259, 2010e:91006.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/070699652>
89. C. Daskalakis, A. Mehta and C. Papadimitriou [2009], A note on approximate Nash equilibria, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **410**(17), 1581–1588, 2010g:68303.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2008.12.031>
90. E. D. Demaine, M. L. Demaine, R. Fleischer, R. A. Hearn and T. von Oertzen [2009], The complexity of the Dyson Telescopes puzzle, in: *Games of No Chance 3*, Proc. BIRS Workshop on Combinatorial Games, July, 2005, Banff, Alberta, Canada, MSRI Publ. (M. H. Albert and R. J. Nowakowski, eds.), Vol. 56, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 271–285.
91. E. D. Demaine and R. A. Hearn [2009], Playing games with algorithms: algorithmic combinatorial game theory, in: *Games of No Chance 3*, Proc. BIRS Workshop on Combinatorial Games, July, 2005, Banff, Alberta, Canada, MSRI Publ. (M. H. Albert and R. J. Nowakowski, eds.), Vol. 56, Cambridge University

- Press, Cambridge, pp. 3–56, an updated version of E.D. Demaine’s paper of the same title, 2001.
92. E. D. Demaine and R. A. Hearn [2008], Constraint logic: a uniform framework for modeling computation as games, in: *Twenty-Third Annual IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity*, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, pp. 149–162, 2010d:68039.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/CCC.2008.35>
 93. J. DeMaio and B. Mathew [2011], Which chessboards have a closed knight’s tour within the rectangular prism?, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 8, 14, 2012d:05210.
 94. D. Dereniowski [2011], The complexity of node blocking for dags, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **118**, 248–256, 2737198.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2010.03.011>
 95. D. Dereniowski [2010], Phutball is PSPACE-hard, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **411**, 3971–3978, 2011h:91056.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.08.019>
 96. M. Develin and S. Payne [2010], Discrete bidding games, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17**(1), Research Paper 85, 40, 2011j:91062.
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_17/Abstracts/v17i1r85.html
 97. R. G. Donnelly [2008], Eriksson’s numbers game and finite Coxeter groups, *European J. Combin.* **29**(7), 1764–1781, 2009d:05259.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2007.06.029>
 98. P. Dorbec, E. Duchêne and S. Gravier [2008], Solitaire Clobber played on Hamming graphs, *Integers, Electr. J of Combinat. Number Theory* **8**, G3, 21, MR2393376 (2009b:91037).
 99. I. Douglas and N. Sieben [2012], Edge Animal Weak (1,2)-Achievement Games, *Integers* **12**, G5.
 100. G. C. Drummond-Cole [2014], An Update on Domineering on Rectangular Boards, *Integers* **14**, G3.
 101. Y. Du [2008], On the complexity of deciding bimatrix games similarity, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **407**(1-3), 583–586, 2010g:91008.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2008.07.021>
 102. E. Duchêne, A. S. Fraenkel, S. Gravier and R. J. Nowakowski [2009], Another bridge between NIM and WYTHOFF, *Australas. J. Combin.* **44**, 43–56, 2010h:91056.
 103. E. Duchêne, A. S. Fraenkel, R. J. Nowakowski and M. Rigo [2010], Extensions and restrictions of Wythoff’s game preserving its P positions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **117**(5), 545–567, 2011d:91073.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2009.07.010>
 104. E. Duchêne and S. Gravier [2009], Geometrical extensions of Wythoff’s game, *Discrete Math.* **309**, 3595–3608, 2010k:91051.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.12.089>

105. E. Duchêne, S. Gravier and M. Mhalla [2009], Combinatorial graph games, *Ars Combin.* **90**, 33–44, 2010b:05123.
106. E. Duchêne, S. Gravier and J. Moncel [2009], New results about impartial solitaire clobber, *RAIRO Oper. Res.* **43**, 463–482, 2011b:91079.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/ro/2009029>
107. E. Duchêne and M. Rigo [2010], Invariant games, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **411**, 3169–3180, 2011i:91038.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.05.007>
108. E. Duchêne and M. Rigo [2008], A morphic approach to combinatorial games: the Tribonacci case, *Theor. Inform. Appl.* **42**(2), 375–393, 2009b:91038.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/ita:2007039>
109. E. Duchêne and M. Rigo [2008], Cubic Pisot unit combinatorial games, *Monatsh. Math.* **155**(3-4), 217–249, 2009m:68199.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00605-008-0006-x>
110. A. Duffy, G. Kolpin and D. Wolfe [2009], Ordinal partizan End Nim, in: *Games of No Chance 3*, Proc. BIRS Workshop on Combinatorial Games, July, 2005, Banff, Alberta, Canada, MSRI Publ. (M. H. Albert and R. J. Nowakowski, eds.), Vol. 56, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 419–425.
111. S. Dyrkolbotn and M. Walicki [2012], Kernels in digraphs that are not kernel perfect, *Discrete Math.* **312**, 2498–2505.
112. R. B. Ellis and K. L. Nyman [2009], Two-batch liar games on a general bounded channel, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **116**(8), 1253–1270, 2011j:91054.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2009.03.005>
113. P. L. Erdős and L. Soukup [2009], Quasi-kernels and quasi-sinks in infinite graphs, *Discrete Math.* **309**(10), 3040–3048, 2010i:05243.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.08.006>
114. L. Esperet and X. Zhu [2009], Game colouring of the square of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **309**(13), 4514–4521, 2010j:05134.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2009.02.014>
115. K. Etessami and M. Yannakakis [2010], On the complexity of Nash equilibria and other fixed points, *SIAM J. Comput.* **39**(6), 2531–2597, 2011i:91013.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/080720826>
116. C. D. A. Evans and J. D. Hamkins [2014], Transfinite Game Values in Infinite Chess, *Integers* **14**, G2.
117. G. Fülep and N. Sieben [2010], Polyiamonds and polyhexes with minimum site-perimeter and achievement games, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17**(1), Research Paper 65, 14, 2011d:05078.
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_17/Abstracts/v17i1r65.html
118. G. Farr and J. Schmidt [2008], On the number of Go positions on lattice graphs, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **105**(4), 124–130, MR2381402.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ipl.2007.08.018>

119. A. Ferber and D. Hefetz [2011], Winning strong games through fast strategies for weak games, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 144, 13, 2817794.
120. A. Fink [2012], Lattice games without rational strategies, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **119**(2), 450–459, 2860605.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2011.10.005>
121. A. Fink, A. S. Fraenkel and C. Santos [2014], LIM is not slim, *Internat. J. Game Theory* **43**(2), 269–281, 3197293.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00182-013-0380-z>
122. A. Fink and R. Guy [2009], Rick’s tricky six puzzle: S_5 sits specially in S_6 , *Math. Mag.* **82**, 83–102, 2512593.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4169/193009809X468896>
123. A. Fink, R. J. Nowakowski, A. N. Siegel and D. Wolfe [2015], Toppling conjectures, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 65–76.
124. E. Fisher and N. Sieben [2008], Rectangular polyomino set weak (1, 2)-achievement games, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **409**(3), 333–340, MR2473908 (2010a:91036).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2008.05.024>
125. F. V. Fomin, P. A. Golovach, J. Kratochvíl, N. Nisse and K. Suchan [2010], Pursuing a fast robber on a graph, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **411**(7-9), 1167–1181, 2011f:68055.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2009.12.010>
126. F. V. Fomin, P. A. Golovach and J. Kratochvíl [2008], On tractability of cops and robbers game, in: *Fifth IFIP International Conference on Theoretical Computer Science—TCS 2008*, Vol. 273 of *IFIP Int. Fed. Inf. Process.*, Springer, New York, pp. 171–185, 2012b:91044.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-09680-3_12
127. F. V. Fomin, P. A. Golovach and D. Lokshtanov [2011], Guard games on graphs: keep the intruder out!, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **412**(46), 6484–6497, 2883020.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12450-1_14
128. D. Forge and A. Vieilleribière [2009], The directed switching game on Lawrence oriented matroids, *European J. Combin.* **30**(8), 1833–1834, 2011a:05066.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2008.12.002>
129. A. Fraenkel, R. Hearn and A. Siegel [In Press], Theory of combinatorial games, in: *Handbook of Game Theory* (H. P. Young and S. Zamir, eds.), Vol. 4.
130. A. Fraenkel and Y. Tanny [2012/13], A class of Wythoff-like games, *Integers* **12B** (Proceedings of the Integers Conference 2011), Paper No. A7, 18, 3055681.
131. A. S. Fraenkel [2015], The Rat Game and the Mouse Game, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 95–113.
132. A. S. Fraenkel and R. J. Nowakowski [2015], Combinatorial Games: selected short bibliography with a succinct gourmet introduction, No. 63 in Mathematical

- Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 309–339.
133. A. S. Fraenkel [2012], The vile, dopey, evil and odious game players, *Discrete Math.* **312**, 42–46, special volume in honor of the 80th birthday of Gert Sabidussi, 2852506.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2011.03.032>
 134. A. S. Fraenkel [2012], RATWYT, *College Math. J.* **43**(2), 160–164, In memory of Martin Gardner, 2897480.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4169/college.math.j.43.2.160>
 135. A. S. Fraenkel [2011], Aperiodic subtraction games, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(2), Paper 19, 12, 2012g:91039.
 136. A. S. Fraenkel [2010], Complementary iterated floor words and the Flora game, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **24**(2), 570–588, 2011g:91033.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/090758994>
 137. A. S. Fraenkel [2010], From enmity to amity, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **117**(7), 646–648, 2681526.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4169/000298910X496787>
 138. A. S. Fraenkel [2009], *The cyclic Butler University game*, in: Mathematical Wizardry for a Gardner, Volume honoring Martin Gardner, A K Peters, Natick, MA, pp. 97–105, E. Pegg Jr, A. H. Schoen, and T. Rodgers, eds.
 139. A. Fraenkel [2013], Beating Your Fractional Beatty Game Opponent and: What's the Question to Your Answer?, *Advances in Combinatorics, in Memory of Herbert S. Wilf* (I. Kotsireas and E. Zima, eds.).
 140. A. S. Fraenkel and U. Peled [2015], Harnessing the unwieldy MEX function, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 77–94.
 141. A. S. Fraenkel and E. Reisner [2009], The game of End-Wythoff, in: *Games of No Chance 3*, Proc. BIRS Workshop on Combinatorial Games, July, 2005, Banff, Alberta, Canada, MSRI Publ. (M. H. Albert and R. J. Nowakowski, eds.), Vol. 56, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 329–347.
 142. T. Gagie [2012], Bounds from a card trick, *J. Discrete Algorithms* **10**, 2–4.
 143. H. Galeana-Sánchez [2008], Kernels in edge-coloured orientations of nearly complete graphs, *Discrete Math.* **308**(20), 4599–4607, 2009e:05115.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.08.079>
 144. H. Galeana-Sánchez and M.-k. Guevara [2009], Some sufficient conditions for the existence of kernels in infinite digraphs, *Discrete Math.* **309**, 3680–3693, 2010h:05211.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.01.025>
 145. H. Galeana-Sánchez and M. Olsen [2011], Kernels by monochromatic paths in digraphs with covering number 2, *Discrete Math.* **311**(13), 1111–1118, 2012d:

05166.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2010.09.022>
146. H. Galeana-Sánchez and R. Rojas-Monroy [2008], Kernels and some operations in edge-coloured digraphs, *Discrete Math.* **308**(24), 6036–6046, 2009j:05077.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.11.022>
147. S. Ganzell and A. Meadows [2009], Untangle: knots in combinatorial game theory, *Geombinatorics* **18**(3), 101–108, 2479639.
148. S. Ganzell, A. Meadows and J. Ross [2014], Twist Untangle and Related Knot Games, *Integers* **14**, G4.
149. S. M. Garrabrant, E. J. Friedman and A. S. Landsberg [2013], Cofinite Induced Subgraphs of Impartial Combinatorial Games: An Analysis of CIS-Nim, *INTEGERS* **13**, G2.
150. Q. R. Gashi, T. Schedler and D. E. Speyer [2012], Looping of the numbers game and the alcoved hypercube, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **119**(3), 713–730, 2871758.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2011.11.012>
151. T. Gavenčiak [2010], Cop-win graphs with maximum capture-time, *Discrete Math.* **310**(10–11), 1557–1563, 2011d:05241.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2010.01.015>
152. H. Gebauer [2012], On the clique-game, *European J. Combin.* **33**(1), 8–19, 2854626.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2011.07.005>
153. A. Georgakopoulos and P. Winkler [2012], Two-color Babylon, *Integers, Electr. J. of Combinat. Number Theory* **12**, #G1, 7 pp., Comb. Games Sect.
<http://www.integers-ejcnt.org/vol12.html>
154. M. Goarich and M. Schlatter [2011], Analyzing two-color Babylon, *Integers* **11**, G01, 12, 2012c:91060.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/INTEG.2011.001>
155. W. Goddard, A. Sinko, P. Slater and H. Xu [2011], The graph distance game, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* **8**(1), 85–96, 2012e:05252.
156. J. Goldwasser, X. Wang and Y. Wu [2011], Minimum light numbers in the σ -game and lit-only σ -game on unicyclic and grid graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 214, 27, 2853071.
157. J. Goldwasser, X. Wang and Y. Wu [2009], Does the lit-only restriction make any difference for the σ -game and σ^+ -game?, *European J. Combin.* **30**, 774–787, 2010c:05087.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2008.09.020>
158. J.P. Grossman and R. J. Nowakowski [2015], A ruler regularity in hexadecimal games, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 115–128.

159. A. Guignard and É. Sopena [2009], Compound Node-Kayles on paths, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **410**, 2033–2044, 2010g:91030.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2008.12.053>
160. A. Guo [2012], Winning strategies for aperiodic subtraction games, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **421**, 70–73.
161. A. Guo and E. Miller [2011], Lattice point methods for combinatorial games, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **46**(1-4), 363–378, 2794028.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aam.2010.10.004>
162. A. Guo and E. Miller [2012], Corrigendum to “Lattice point methods for combinatorial games” [Adv. in Appl. Math. 46 (1–4) (2011) 363–378] [MR2794028], *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **48**(1), 269–271, 2845518.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aam.2011.09.001>
163. A. Guo and E. Miller [2013], Algorithms for lattice games, *Internat. J. Game Theory* **42**(4), 777–788, 3111660.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00182-012-0319-9>
164. V. Gurvich [2012], Further generalizations of the Wythoff game and the minimum excludant, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **160**, 941–947.
165. G. Gutowski [2011], Mr. Paint and Mrs. Correct go fractional, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 140, 8, 2817790.
166. I. Halupczok and J.-C. Schlage-Puchta [2008], Some strategies for higher dimensional animal achievement games, *Discrete Math.* **308**(16), 3470–3478, 2009g:91040.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.07.005>
167. P. Harding and P. Ottaway [2014], Edge Deletion Games with Parity Rules, *Integers* **14**, G1.
168. F. Havet and L. Sampaio [2010], On the Grundy number of a graph, in: *Parameterized and exact computation*, Vol. 6478 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 170–179, 2012e:05134.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-17493-3_17
169. R. B. Hayward, B. Toft and P. Henderson [2012], How to play Reverse Hex, *Discrete Math.* **312**(1), 148–156, 2852517.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2011.06.033>
170. R. A. Hearn and E. D. Demaine [2009], *Games, puzzles, and computation*, A K Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 2537584.
171. G. Helleloid, M. Khalid, D. P. Moulton and P. M. Wood [2009], Graph pegging numbers, *Discrete Math.* **309**, 1971–1985, 2010h:05247.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.03.029>
172. P. Henderson and R. B. Hayward [2015], A handicap strategy for Hex, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 129–136.
173. P. Henderson and R. B. Hayward [2013], Captured-Reversible Moves and Star Decomposition Domination in Hex, *Integers* **13**, G1.

174. C. Hernández-Cruz and H. Galeana-Sánchez [2012], k -kernels in k -transitive and k -quasi-transitive digraphs, *Discrete Math.* **312**, 2522–2530.
175. D. S. Herscovici [2010], On graph pebbling numbers and Graham’s conjecture, *Graph Theory Notes N. Y.* **59**, 15–21, 2849399.
176. D. S. Herscovici [2008], Graham’s pebbling conjecture on products of many cycles, *Discrete Math.* **308**(24), 6501–6512, 2010b:05177.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.12.045>
177. D. S. Herscovici, B. D. Hester and G. H. Hulbert [2012], Generalization of Graham’s pebbling conjecture, *Discrete Math.* **312**, 2286–2293.
178. D. S. Herscovici, B. D. Hester and G. H. Hurlbert [2011], Optimal pebbling in products of graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.* **50**, 3–24, 2829275.
179. G. Hetyei [2010], Enumeration by kernel positions for strongly Bernoulli type truncation games on words, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **117**(8), 1107–1126, 2011j: 05007.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2010.01.003>
180. G. Hetyei [2009], Enumeration by kernel positions, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **42**(4), 445–470, 2010f:05013.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aam.2008.11.001>
181. N. Ho [2012], Two variants of Wythoff’s game preserving its P-positions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **119**, 1302–1314.
182. N. Ho [2012], Variations of the game 3-Euclid, *Int. J. Comb.* Article ID **406250**.
183. N. B. Ho [2014], The Max-Welter game, *Discrete Math.* **318**, 41–47.
184. M. Hoffmann, J. Matoušek, Y. Okamoto and P. Zumstein [2011], The t -pebbling number is eventually linear in t , *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 153, 4, 2823374.
185. M. Hoffmann, J. Matoušek, Y. Okamoto and P. Zumstein [2010], Minimum and maximum against k lies, in: *Algorithm theory—SWAT 2010*, Vol. 6139 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 139–149, 2678492.
186. S. Hofmann, G. Schuster and J. Steuding [2008], Euclid, Calkin & Wilf—playing with rationals, *Elem. Math.* **63**(3), 109–117, 2009e:91046.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4171/EM/95>
187. C. W. Hong [2010], The biased, distance-restricted n -in-a-row game for small p , *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **411**(16–18), 1895–1897, 2011b:91080.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.02.002>
188. D. Horrocks [2010], Winning positions in simplicial Nim, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17**(1), Research Paper 84, 13, 2012a:91047.
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_17/Abstracts/v17i1r84.html
189. D. G. Horrocks and M. A. Trenton [2008], Subtraction with a Muller twist, *Integers, Electr. J. of Combinat. Number Theory* **8**, G05, 12, MR2425634 (2009h: 91051).

190. S. C. Huneke [2014], An inductive proof of hex uniqueness, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **121**(1), 78–80, 3139586.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4169/amer.math.monthly.121.01.078>
191. S. C. Huneke, R. Hayward and B. Toft [2014], A winning strategy for $3 \times n$ Cylindrical Hex, *Discrete Math.* **331**, 93–97, 3225310.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2014.05.002>
192. Y.-C. Hung, R. W. Chen, A. Zame and M.-R. Chen [2010], A note on the first occurrence of strings, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17**(1), Note 5, 8, 2011d:05013.
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_17/Abstracts/v17i1n5.html
193. S. Huntemann and R. J. Nowakowski [2014], Doppelganger Placement Games, *Recreational Mathematics Magazine* **1**, 55–61.
194. P. Hunter and S. Kreutzer [2008], Digraph measures: Kelly decompositions, games, and orderings, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **399**(3), 206–219, 2009g:05169.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2008.02.038>
195. E. J. Ionascu, D. Pritikin and S. E. Wright [2008], k -dependence and domination in kings graphs, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **115**, 820–836, 2009i:05180.
196. V. Isler and N. Karnad [2008], The role of information in the cop-robber game, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **399**(3), 179–190, 2009f:91017.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2008.02.041>
197. Y. Iwasaki [2009], Solution for the Tower of Hanoi problem with four pegs, *Adv. Appl. Discrete Math.* **4**(2), 95–104, 2590297.
198. J. James and M. Schlatter [2008], Some observations and solutions to short and long global nim, *Integers, Electr. J. of Combinat. Number Theory* **8**, G01, 14, MR2373090 (2008k:91051).
199. W. Johnson [2014], The combinatorial game theory of well-tempered scoring games, *Internat. J. Game Theory* **43**(2), 415–438, 3197299.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00182-013-0386-6>
200. B. Jones, L. Taalman and A. Tongen [2013], Solitaire Mancala games and the Chinese remainder theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **120**(8), 706–724, 3096479.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4169/amer.math.monthly.120.08.706>
201. D. M. Kane [2010], On solving games constructed using both short and long conjunctive sums, *Integers* **10**, G04, 849–878, 2797777.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/INTEG.2010.104>
202. T. Khovanova and J. B. Lewis [2011], Baron Münchhausen redeems himself: bounds for a coin-weighing puzzle, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 37, 14, 2776813.
203. H. A. Kierstead and A. V. Kostochka [2009], Efficient graph packing via game colouring, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **18**(5), 765–774, 2010j:05322.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0963548309009973>
204. H. A. Kierstead, C.-Y. Yang, D. Yang and X. Zhu [2012], Adapted game colouring of graphs, *European J. Combin.* **33**(4), 435–445, 2864427.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2011.11.001>

205. H. Kierstead, B. Mohar, S. Špacapan, D. Yang and X. Zhu [2009], The two-coloring number and degenerate colorings of planar graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **23**(3), 1548–1560, 2011b:05073.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/070703697>
206. J. Y. Kim [2011], The incidence game chromatic number of paths and subgraphs of wheels, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **159**(8), 683–694, 2012b:05121.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2010.01.001>
207. K. Knauer, P. Micek and T. Ueckerdt [2011], How to eat 4/9 of a pizza, *Discrete Math.* **311**(16), 1635–1645, 2012e:91185.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2011.03.015>
208. S. C. Kontogiannis, P. N. Panagopoulou and P. G. Spirakis [2009], Polynomial algorithms for approximating Nash equilibria of bimatrix games, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **410**(17), 1599–1606, 2010h:68217.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2008.12.033>
209. W. O. Krawec [2012], Analyzing n -player impartial games, *Int. J. Game Theory* **41**, 345–367.
210. M. Krivelevich and T. Szabó [2008], Biased positional games and small hypergraphs with large covers, *Electron. J. Combin.* **15**(1), Research Paper 70, 13, 2009h:91047.
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_15/Abstracts/v15i1r70.html
211. K. Kruczak and E. Sundberg [2010], Potential-based strategies for tic-tac-toe on the integer lattice with numerous directions, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17**(1), Research Paper 5, 15, 2011g:05204.
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_17/Abstracts/v17i1r5.html
212. K. Kruczak and E. Sundberg [2008], A pairing strategy for tic-tac-toe on the integer lattice with numerous directions, *Electron. J. Combin.* **15**(1), Note 42, 6, 2010c:91029.
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_15/Abstracts/v15i1n42.html
213. D. Kunkle and G. Cooperman [2009], Harnessing parallel disks to solve Rubik's cube, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **44**(7), 872–890, 2010g:05015.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsc.2008.04.013>
214. U. Larsson [2015], Restrictions of m -Wythoff Nim and p -complementary Beatty sequences, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 137–160.
215. U. Larsson [2014], Wythoff Nim Extensions and Splitting Sequences, *Journal of Integer Sequences* **17**, Article 14.5.7.
216. U. Larsson [2012], A Generalized Diagonal Wythoff Nim, *Integers, Electr. J. of Combinat. Number Theory* **12**, #G02, 24 pp., Comb. Games Sect.
<http://www.integers-ejcnt.org/vol12.html>
217. U. Larsson [2012], The $*$ -operator and invariant subtraction games, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **422**(1), 52–58.

218. U. Larsson [2011], Blocking Wythoff nim, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 120, 18, 2811089.
219. U. Larsson [2009], 2-pile Nim with a restricted number of move-size imitations, *Integers* **9**, G04, 671–690, With an appendix by Peter Hegarty, 2011e:91054. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/INTEG.2009.053>
220. U. Larsson, P. Hegarty and A. S. Fraenkel [2011], Invariant and dual subtraction games resolving the Duchêne-Rigo conjecture, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **412**(8-10), 729–735, 2012d:91053. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.11.015>
221. U. Larsson and J. Wästlund [2014], Maharaja Nim: Wythoff’s Queen meets the Knight, *Integers* **14**, G5.
222. E. L. Leiss [2013], The worst Hanoi graphs, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **498**, 100–106, 3083516. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2013.05.020>
223. J. Lemoine and S. Viennot [2015], Computer Analysis of Sprouts with Nimbers, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 161–182.
224. W. Li, D. Wu and F. Pan [2012], A construction for doubly pandiagonal magic squares, *Discrete Math.* **312**(2), 479–485, 2852609. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2011.09.031>
225. W. Lin and X. Zhu [2009], Circular game chromatic number of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **309**(13), 4495–4501, 2010i:05127. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2009.02.011>
226. W. A. Liu and H. Li [2014], A restricted version of Wythoff’s Game, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*.
227. W. A. Liu, H. Li and B. Li [2011], A restricted version of Wythoff’s game, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 207, 17, 2853064.
228. W. A. Liu, H. Li, Y. Ma and B. Li [2012], On Supplements of 2 x m Board in Toppling Towers, *Integers* **12**, G4.
229. L. Longpré and P. McKenzie [2009], The complexity of solitaire, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **410**(50), 5252–5260, Appeared first in Mathematical foundations of computer science 2007, 182193, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 4708, Springer, Berlin, 2007, 2011b:91082. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2009.08.027>
230. A. M. Loosen [2008], Directional phutball, *Atl. Electron. J. Math.* **3**, 30–45, 2827227.
231. T. Mütze and R. Spöhel [2011], On the path-avoidance vertex-coloring game, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 163, 33, 2831099.
232. P. Manuel, I. Rajasingh, B. Rajan and J. Punitha [2009], Kernel in oriented circulant graphs, in: *Combinatorial algorithms*, Vol. 5874 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 396–407, 2577955.

233. N. A. McKay [2011], Canonical forms of optimals, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **412**(52), 7122–7132, 2895141.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2011.09.021>
234. N. A. McKay, R. J. Nowakowski and A. A. Siegel [2015], Navigating the MAZE, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 183–193.
235. N. A. McKay, R. J. Nowakowski and A. A. Siegel [2010], The gods play maze, in: *Recreational Mathematics Colloquium I*, Assoc. Ludus, Lisbon, pp. 153–159, 2807403.
236. A. Mehrabian [2011], Lower bounds for the cop number when the robber is fast, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **20**(4), 617–621, 2012e:05253.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0963548311000101>
237. A. Mehrabian [2011], The capture time of grids, *Discrete Math.* **311**(1), 102–105, 2011i:05154.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2010.10.002>
238. N. Mehta and Á. Seress [2011], Connected, bounded degree, triangle avoidance games, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 193, 37, 2836828.
239. K. Meng, C. Lin, W. A. Liu and Y. Yang [2011], Q -ary Rényi-Ulam pathological liar game with one lie, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **159**(6), 478–483, 2012c:91063.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2010.12.021>
240. P. Micek and B. Walczak [2012], Parity in graph sharing games, *Discrete Math.* **312**, 1788–1795.
241. P. Micek and B. Walczak [2011], A graph-grabbing game, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **20**(4), 623–629, 2012d:05252.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0963548311000071>
242. E. Miller [2013], Affine stratifications from finite misère quotients, *J. Algebraic Combinatorics* **37**, 1–9.
243. R. Milley, R. J. Nowakowski and P. Ottaway [2012], Misère monoid of one-handed alternating games, *Integers 2011*, to appear.
244. R. Milley and G. Renault [2013], Dead ends in misère play: the misère monoid of canonical numbers, *Discrete Math.* **313**(20), 2223–2231, 3084267.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2013.05.023>
245. M. Montassier, A. Pécher, A. Raspaud, D. B. West and X. Zhu [2010], Decomposition of sparse graphs, with application to game coloring number, *Discrete Math.* **310**(10–11), 1520–1523, 2011g:05244.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2010.01.008>
246. R. E. Morrison, E. J. Friedman and A. S. Landsberg [2011], Combinatorial games with a pass: A dynamical systems approach, *Chaos 21, 043108 (2011)*; doi:10.1063/1.3650234 **21**.

247. H. Nagamochi [2011], Cop-robber guarding game with cycle robber-region, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **412**(4-5), 383–390, 2012b:05181.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.04.014>
248. T. Nakamura [2015], Evaluating territories of Go positions with capturing races, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 195–205.
249. Z. Nedev [2010], An $O(n)$ -round strategy for the Magnus-Derek game, *Algorithms (Basel)* **3**(3), 244–254, 2725450.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/a3030244>
250. Z. Nedev [2008], Universal sets and the vector game, *Integers* **8**, A45, 5, 2010b: 91053.
251. Z. Nedev and S. Muthukrishnan [2008], The Magnus-Derek game, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **393**(1-3), 124–132, 2009h:91052.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2007.11.016>
252. Z. Nedev and A. Quas [2008], Balanced sets and the vector game, *Int. J. Number Theory* **4**, 339–347, MR2424326.
253. R. J. Nowakowski [2015], Unsolved problems in Combinatorial Games, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 279–308.
254. R. J. Nowakowski and P. Ottaway [2011], Option-closed games, *Contrib. Discrete Math.* **6**(1), 142–153, 2012e:91080.
255. I. Pak and J. Yang [2013], The complexity of generalized domino tilings, *Electron. J. Combin.* **20**(4), Paper 12, 23, 3139397.
256. M. B. Paterson and D. R. Stinson [2010], Yet another hat game, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17**(1), Research Paper 86, 12, 2011e:91055.
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_17/Abstracts/v17i1r86.html
257. S. Payne and E. Robeva [2015], Artificial intelligence for Bidding Hex, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 207–214.
258. T. Plambeck and G. Whitehead [2014], The Secrets of Notatko: Winning at X-Only Tic-Tac-Toe, *Recreational Mathematics Magazine* **1**, 49–54.
259. T. E. Plambeck and A. N. Siegel [2008], Misère quotients for impartial games, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **115**, 593–622, 2009m:91036.
260. J. W. S. Rayleigh [1937], *The Theory of Sound*, MacMillan, London, 1st ed. 1877, 2nd ed. 1894, reprinted 1928, 1929, 1937. There is also a Dover 1945 publication, which is a reprint of the 2nd. The “sound” equivalent of Beatty’s theorem, is stated, without proof, in sect. 92a, pp. 122–123, in the 1937 reprint of the 2nd ed., the only one I saw. Kevin O’Bryant told me that he checked the 1st edition and the statement is not there, MR0016009 (7,500e).

261. C. P. dos Santos [2011], Embedding processes in combinatorial game theory, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **159**(8), 675–682, 2012d:91054.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2010.11.019>
262. C. P. dos Santos and J. N. Silva [2015], Nimbers in Partizan Games, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 215–224.
263. C. P. d. Santos and J. N. Silva [2008], Konane has infinite Nim-dimension, *Integers* **8**, G2, 6, 2008k:91052.
264. U. Schauz [2011], Colorings and nowhere-zero flows of graphs in terms of Berlekamp’s switching game, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 65, 33, 2012e:05254.
265. U. Schauz [2009], Mr. Paint and Mrs. Correct, *Electron. J. Combin.* **16**(1), Research Paper 77, 18, 2010i:91064.
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_16/Abstracts/v16i1r77.html
266. A. Scott and U. Stege [2010], Parameterized pursuit-evasion games, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **411**(43), 3845–3858, 2012c:68102.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.07.004>
267. A. Scott and U. Stege [2008], Parameterized chess, in: *Parameterized and exact computation*, Vol. 5018 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 172–189, 2010f:68062.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-79723-4_17
268. A. Shapovalov [2011], Occupation games on graphs in which the second player takes almost all vertices, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **159**(15), 1526–1527, 2012f:05202.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2011.03.002>
269. N. Sieben [2008], Polyominoes with minimum site-perimeter and full set achievement games, *European J. Combin.* **29**(1), 108–117, MR2368619 (2008j:05092).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2006.12.008>
270. N. Sieben [2008], Proof trees for weak achievement games, *Integers, Electr. J of Combinat. Number Theory* **8**, G07, 18, MR2472080 (2010b:91054).
271. A. N. Siegel [2015], The structure and classification of misère quotients, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 241–266.
272. A. N. Siegel [2015], Misère canonical forms of partizan games, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 225–239.
273. A. N. Siegel [2013], *Combinatorial Game Theory*, American Math. Society.
274. A. Sinko and P. J. Slater [2008], Queen’s domination using border squares and (A, B) -restricted domination, *Discrete Math.* **308**(20), 4822–4828, 2009f:05208.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.08.065>
275. M. Soltys and C. Wilson [2011], On the complexity of computing winning strategies for finite poset games, *Theory Comput. Syst.* **48**(3), 680–692, 2012e:91016.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00224-010-9254-y>

276. M. Z. Spivey [2009], Staircase rook polynomials and Cayley's game of Mousetrap, *European J. Combin.* **30**(2), 532–539, 2010f:05016.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2008.04.005>
277. F. Stewart [2013], Pirates and Treasure, *Integers* **13**, G3.
278. Z. Szaniszlo, M. Tomova and C. Wyels [2009], The N -queens problem on a symmetric Toeplitz matrix, *Discrete Math.* **309**, 969–974, 2010d:05023.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.01.042>
279. W. Szumny, I. Włoch and A. Włoch [2008], On the existence and on the number of (k, l) -kernels in the lexicographic product of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **308**(20), 4616–4624, 2009e:05235.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.08.078>
280. X. L. Tan and M. D. Ward [2014], On Kotzig's Nim, *Integers* **14**, G6.
281. T. Thanatipanonda [2011], Further hopping with Toads and Frogs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**(1), Paper 67, 12, 2788684.
282. T. Thanatipanonda [2008], How to beat Capablanca, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **40**, 266–270, 2388615.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aam.2006.11.005>
283. T. Thanatipanonda and D. Zeilberger [2009], A symbolic finite-state approach for automated proving of theorems in combinatorial game theory, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **15**, 111–118, 2010b:91055.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10236190802006423>
284. B. Torrence [2011], The easiest lights out games, *College Math. J.* **42**, 361–372.
285. X. Wang and Y. Wu [2010], Lit-only σ -game on pseudo-trees, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **158**(17), 1945–1952, 2011i:05180.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2010.08.009>
286. M. Weimerskirch [2015], An algorithm for computing indistinguishability quotients in misère impartial combinatorial games, in: *Games of No Chance 4* (R. Nowakowski, ed.), No. 63 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 267–277.
287. M. Wildon [2010], Knights, spies, games and ballot sequences, *Discrete Math.* **310**(21), 2974–2983, 2011k:05014.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2010.07.005>
288. J. Wu and X. Zhu [2008], Lower bounds for the game colouring number of partial k -trees and planar graphs, *Discrete Math.* **308**(12), 2637–2642, MR2410475 (2009c:05093).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.05.023>
289. J. Wu and X. Zhu [2008], The 6-relaxed game chromatic number of outerplanar graphs, *Discrete Math.* **308**(24), 5974–5980, MR2464888 (2009j:91044).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.11.015>
290. Y. Wu [2009], Lit-only sigma game on a line graph, *European J. Combin.* **30**(1), 84–95, 2009k:91039.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2008.02.003>

291. B. Yang, D. Dyer and B. Alspach [2009], Sweeping graphs with large clique number, *Discrete Math.* **309**(18), 5770–5780, 2010k:05324.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.05.033>
292. C. Yang [2011], Sliding puzzles and rotating puzzles on graphs, *Discrete Math.* **311**(14), 1290–1294, 2012f:05139.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2011.03.011>
293. D. Yang [2012], Coloring games on squares of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **312**, 1400–1406.
294. D. Yang [2009], Activation strategy for relaxed asymmetric coloring games, *Discrete Math.* **309**, 3323–3335, 2010g:05247.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.09.047>
295. D. Yang [2009], Relaxed very asymmetric coloring games, *Discrete Math.* **309**, 1043–1050, 2010d:05064.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.11.058>
296. D. Yang and H. A. Kierstead [2008], Asymmetric marking games in line graphs, *Discrete Math.* **308**(9), 1751–1755, MR2392616 (2009a:05081).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.03.082>
297. D. Yang and X. Zhu [2010], Game colouring directed graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17**(1), Research Paper 11, 19, 2011d:05150.
http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_17/Abstracts/v17i1r11.html
298. D. Yang and X. Zhu [2008], Activation strategy for asymmetric marking games, *European J. Combin.* **29**, 1123–1132, MR2419216 (2009b:91039).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2007.07.004>
299. X. Zhu [2008], Game coloring the Cartesian product of graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **59**, 261–278, MR2463181 (2009h:05095).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgt.20338>
300. X. Zhu [2008], Refined activation strategy for the marking game, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **98**(1), 1–18, 2008k:91049.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jctb.2007.04.004>

aviezri.fraenkel@weizmann.ac.il Department of Computer Science and Applied Mathematics,
Weizmann Institute of Science, 76100 Rehovot, Israel

rjn@mathstat.dal.ca Mathematics and Statistics, Dalhousie University,
Halifax B3H 3J5, Canada

— | —

— | —